arXiv:1001.3471v1 [math.RT] 20 Jan 2010

On Polynomial Representations of Classical Strange Lie Superalgebras

Cuiling Luo

Institute of Mathematics, Academy of Mathematics & System Sciences,
Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100190, China

E-mail: luocuiling@amss.ac.cn

Abstract

In this paper, various polynomial representations of strange classical Lie superalgebras are investigated. It turns out that the representations for the algebras of type P are indecomposable, and we obtain the composition series of the underlying modules. As modules of the algebras of type Q , the polynomial algebras are decomposed into a direct sum of irreducible submodules.

Keywords: polynomial, representation, Lie superalgebra, composition series.

1 Introduction

Lie superalgebras were introduced by physicists as the fundamental tools of studying the supersymmetry in physics. Unlike Lie algebra case, finite-dimensional modules of finite-dimensional simple Lie superalgebras may not be completely reducible and the structure of finite-dimensional irreducible modules is much more complicated due to the existence of so-called *atypical* modules (cf. [17], [18]). In his celebrated work [16] on classification of finite-dimensional simple Lie superalgebras, Kac found two families of exotic classical simple Lie superalgebras, which are called "strange" Lie superalgebras of type P and Q, respectively. These superalgebras do not have analogues in Lie algebras. The strange Lie superalgebras have attracted a number of mathematicians' attention.

Javis and Murray [9] obtained the Casimir invariants, characteristic identities, and tensor operators for the strange Lie superalgebras. Moreover, Nazarov [11] found Yangians of the superalgebras. In [2], Frappat, Sciarrino and Sorba studied Dynkin-like diagrams and a certain representation of the strange superalgebra $P(n)$. In addition, they [3] gave a certain oscillator realization of the strange superalgebras. Medak [13] generalized the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff formula and used it to examine the so-called BCH-Lie and BCH-invertible subalgebras in the Lie superalgebra $P(n)$. Penkov and Serganova [20] discovered a surprising phenomena that the multiplicity of the highest weight in the finite-dimensional irreducible representations of $q(n)$ is in general greater than 1.

Gruson [8] computed the cohomology with trivial coefficients for the strange Lie superalgebras. Palev and Van der Jeugt [19] found a family of nongraded Fock representations of the Lie superalgebra $Q(n)$. Gorelik [5] obtained the center of the universal enveloping algebra of the Lie superalgebra of type P. Serganova [21] determined the center of the quotient algebra of the universal enveloping algebra of the Lie superalgebra of type P by its Jacobson radical and used it to study the typical highest weight modules of the algebra. Medak [14] proved that each maximal invertible subalgebra of $P(n)$ is $\mathbb{Z}$-graded. Moon [15] obtained a "Schur-Weyl duality" for the algebras of type P. Martinez and Zelmanov [12] classified Lie superalgebras graded by $P(n)$ and $Q(n)$. Brundan [1] found a connection between Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials and character formulas for the Lie superalgebra $q(n)$. Gorelik [6] obtained the Shapovalov determinants of Q-type Lie superalgebras. Stukopin [22] studied the Yangians of the strange Lie superalgebra of type Q by Drinfel'd approach. Gorelik and Serganova [7] investigated the structure of Verma modules over the twisted affine Lie superalgebra $q(n)^{(2)}$.

One way of understanding simple Lie algebras and simple Lie superalgebras is to determine the structure of their natural representations. Canonical polynomial irreducible representations (also known as oscillator representations in physics (e.g., cf. [4])) of finite-dimensional simple Lie algebras are very important from application point of view, where both the representation formulas and bases are clear. In [10], we determined the structure of certain noncanonical polynomial representations of classical simple Lie algebras, in particular, their irreducible submodules. In this paper, we want to generalize the above results to the strange simple Lie superalgebras. The details are as follows.

Throughout this paper, we denote by $\mathbb{Z}$ the ring of integers and by $\mathbb{N}$ the set of nonnegative integers. For convenience, we also use the following notation of indices:

$$\overline{i,j}=\{i,i+1,...,j\}, \tag{1.1}$$

where $i\leq j$ are integers. Let $E_{i,j}$ be the matrix whose (i,j)-entry is 1 and the others are zero. Moreover, all the vector spaces are assumed over $\mathbb{C}$, the field of complex numbers. The general linear Lie superalgebra $gl(m|n)=gl(m|n)_{\bar{0}}\oplus gl(m|n)_{\bar{1}}$ with

$$gl(m|n)_{\bar{0}}=\sum_{i,j=1}^{m}\mathbb{C}E_{i,j}+\sum_{p,q=1}^{n}\mathbb{C}E_{m+p,m+q},\ \ gl(m|n)_{\bar{1}}=\sum_{i=1}^{m}\sum_{p=1}^{n}(\mathbb{C}E_{i,m+p}+\mathbb{C}E_{m+p,i}). \tag{1.2}$$

Let $\mathcal{A}=\mathbb{C}[x_1,\cdots,x_m,\theta_1,\cdots,\theta_n]$ be the polynomial algebra in bosonic variables $x_1,\cdots,x_m$ and fermionic variables $\theta_1,\cdots,\theta_n$, i.e.

$$x_ix_j=x_jx_i,\ \ \theta_p\theta_q=-\theta_q\theta_p,\ \ x_i\theta_p=\theta_px_i,\ \ i,j\in\overline{1,m},\ \ p,q\in\overline{1,n}. \tag{1.3}$$

Take an integer $r \in \overline{0,m}$. Define an action of $gl(m|n)$ on $\mathcal{A}$ by

$$E_{i,j}|_{\mathcal{A}} = \begin{cases} -x_j\partial_{x_i} - \delta_{i,j} & \text{if } \ i,j \in \overline{1,r}, \\ \partial_{x_i}\partial_{x_j} & \text{if } \ i \in \overline{1,r}, \ j \in \overline{r+1,m}, \\ -x_i x_j & \text{if } \ j \in \overline{1,r}, \ i \in \overline{r+1,m}, \\ x_i\partial_{x_j} & \text{if } \ i,j \in \overline{r+1,m}, \end{cases}$$

$$E_{i,m+p}|_{\mathcal{A}} = \begin{cases} \partial_{x_i}\partial_{\theta_p} & \text{if } \ i \in \overline{1,r}, \ p \in \overline{1,n}, \\ x_i\partial_{\theta_p} & \text{if } \ i \in \overline{r+1,m}, \ p \in \overline{1,n}, \end{cases} \tag{1.4}$$

$$E_{m+p,j}|_{\mathcal{A}} = \begin{cases} -\theta_p x_j & \text{if } \ j \in \overline{1,r}, \ p \in \overline{1,n}, \\ \theta_p\partial_{x_j} & \text{if } \ j \in \overline{r+1,m}, \ p \in \overline{1,n}, \end{cases}$$

$$E_{m+p,m+q}|_{\mathcal{A}} = \theta_p\partial_{\theta_q} \qquad \text{for } \ p,q \in \overline{1,n}.$$

Then we obtain a representation $\phi_r : gl(m|n) \to gl(\mathcal{A})$, which is obtained from the canonical polynomial representation by swapping ∂_{x_i} and $-x_i$ for $i \in \overline{1,r}$. In this case, the degree operator

$$\mathcal{D} = \phi_r(\sum_{i=1}^{m+n} E_{i,i}) + r = -\sum_{i=1}^{r} x_i\partial_{x_i} + \sum_{j=r+1}^{m} x_j\partial_{x_j} + \sum_{p=1}^{n}\theta_p\partial_{\theta_p}. \tag{1.5}$$

Set

$$\mathcal{A}_k = \{f \in \mathcal{A} \mid \mathcal{D}(f) = kf\}, \qquad k \in \mathbb{Z}. \tag{1.6}$$

It is straightforward to verify that all $\mathcal{A}_k$ are irreducible $gl(m|n)$-submodules and $\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{A}_k$. This is the starting point of this work.

In this paper, we always assume $n \geq 3$. Recall that the simple strange Lie superalgebra

$$\begin{aligned} P(n-1) \ &= \ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\mathbb{C}(E_{i,i} - E_{i+1,i+1} - E_{n+i,n+i} + E_{n+i+1,n+i+1}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{1\leq i<j\leq n} [\mathbb{C}(E_{i,j} - E_{n+j,n+i}) + \mathbb{C}(E_{j,i} - E_{n+i,n+j}) \\ &\quad + \mathbb{C}(E_{n+i,j} - E_{n+j,i}) + \mathbb{C}(E_{i,n+j} + E_{j,n+i})] + \sum_{j=1}^{n}\mathbb{C}E_{j,n+j} \end{aligned} \tag{1.7}$$

is a subalgebra of $gl(n|n)$. Denote by $\phi_r^P = \phi_r|_{P(n-1)}$ the restricted representation with $m = n$, by $\mathcal{A}^r$ the underlying module $\mathcal{A}$ and by $\mathcal{A}_k^r$ its submodule $\mathcal{A}_k$. Furthermore, the notation $\langle S\rangle$ stands for the submodule generated by a set S. The notation $\hat{f}$ means that f is missing in an expression.

Theorem 1. *We have the following conclusions on the representation* ϕ_r^P*:*

(1) If $r = 0$*, the subspace* $\mathcal{A}_1^0$ *is an irreducible* $P(n-1)$*-module and the subspace* $\mathcal{A}_k^0$ *with* $k > 1$ *has a composition series*

$$\mathcal{A}_k^0 \supset \langle x_1^k\rangle \supset \{0\} \qquad \text{if } k \neq n, \tag{1.8}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_n^0 \supset \langle \theta_1 \cdots \theta_n \rangle \supset \langle x_1^n \rangle \supset \{0\}. \tag{1.9}$$

(2) When $r = n$, $\mathcal{A}_k^n = 0$ if $k > n$, and the submodules $\mathcal{A}_n^n$ and $\mathcal{A}_{n-1}^n$ are irreducible. If $0 \neq k \leq n-2$, the submodule $\mathcal{A}_k^n$ has a composition series

$$\mathcal{A}_k^n \supset \langle x_n^{n-k-2} \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i x_i \theta_1 \cdots \hat{\theta}_i \cdots \theta_n \rangle \supset \{0\}. \tag{1.10}$$

Furthermore, $\mathcal{A}_0^n$ has a composition series

$$\mathcal{A}_0^n \supset \langle 1 \rangle \supset \langle x_n^{n-2} \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i x_i \theta_1 \cdots \hat{\theta}_i \cdots \theta_n \rangle \supset \{0\}. \tag{1.11}$$

(3) In the case of $1 \leq r \leq n-1$, we have the following composition series:

$$\mathcal{A}_k^r \supset \langle x_{r+1}^{-k} \rangle \supset \{0\} \qquad \text{if } \ n - r \neq k \leq 0, \tag{1.12}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_k^r \supset \langle x_r^k \rangle \supset \{0\} \qquad \text{if } \ n - r \neq k > 0 \tag{1.13}$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}_{n-r}^r \supset \langle \theta_{r+1} \cdots \theta_n \rangle \supset \langle x_r^{n-r} \rangle \supset \{0\}. \tag{1.14}$$

Recall that the Lie superalgebra

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{Q}(n-1) \;&=\; \sum_{i,j=1}^n \mathbb{C}(E_{i,j} + E_{n+j,n+i}) + \sum_{i,j\in\overline{1,n};\; i\neq j} \mathbb{C}(E_{i,n+j} + E_{n+j,i}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathbb{C}(E_{i,n+i} - E_{i+1,n+i+1} + E_{n+i,i} - E_{n+i+1,i+1})\end{aligned} \tag{1.15}$$

is a subalgebra of $gl(n|n)$. Denote by $\phi_r^Q = \phi_r|_{\tilde{Q}(n-1)}$ the restricted representation with $m = n$, by $\mathcal{A}^r$ the underlying module $\mathcal{A}$ and by $\mathcal{A}_k^r$ its submodule $\mathcal{A}_k$. Let I_{2n} be the $2n \times 2n$ identity matrix, i.e. $I_{2n} = \sum_{i=1}^{2n} E_{i,i}$. Then $\mathbb{C}I_{2n}$ is the center of $\tilde{Q}(n-1)$ and the simple strange Lie superalgebra

$$Q(n-1) = \tilde{Q}(n-1)/\mathbb{C}I_{2n}. \tag{1.16}$$

Theorem 2. *We have the following conclusions on the representation $\tilde{\phi}_r^Q$ of $\tilde{Q}(n-1)$:*

(1) For $k > 0$, the submodule $\mathcal{A}_k^0$ is a direct sum of two irreducible submodules $\langle x_1^k + \sqrt{k} x_1^{k-1} \theta_1 \rangle$ and $\langle x_1^k - \sqrt{k} x_1^{k-1} \theta_1 \rangle$.

(2) The submodules $\mathcal{A}_k^r$ with $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ are irreducible if $0 < r < n$.

(3) The representations $\tilde{\phi}_0^Q$ and $\tilde{\phi}_n^Q$ are equivalent.

Since $\phi_r^Q(I_{2n})|_{\mathcal{A}_r^r} = \mathcal{D}|_{\mathcal{A}_r^r} - r = 0$ (cf. (1.5)), the subspaces $\mathcal{A}_r^r$ with $0 < r < n$ are irreducible submodules of the strange simple Lie superalgebra $Q(n-1)$ by (1.16).

The proof of Theorem 1 is given in Section 2. In Section 3, we prove Theorem 2.

2 Proof of Theorem 1

In this section, we investigate the representations ϕ_r^P of the strange simple Lie superalgebra $P(n-1)$ in (1.7).

Note that

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathbb{C}(E_{i,i} - E_{i+1,i+1} - E_{n+i,n+i} + E_{n+i+1,n+i+1}) \tag{2.1}$$

forms a Cartan subalgebra of the even subalgebra

$$P(n-1)_{\bar{0}} = P(n-1) \cap gl(n|n)_{\bar{0}} = H + \sum_{i,j \in \overline{1,n};\ i \neq j} \mathbb{C}(E_{i,j} - E_{n+j,n+i}) \tag{2.2}$$

(cf. (1.2)). Moreover, we have the subalgebra

$$P(n-1)_{\bar{0}}^{+} = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \mathbb{C}(E_{i,j} - E_{n+j,n+i}). \tag{2.3}$$

Denote

$$|\alpha| = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i, \qquad x^\alpha = \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{\alpha_i} \qquad \text{for } \alpha = (\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n. \tag{2.4}$$

Set

$$\mathcal{A}_{k,\,t}^r = \text{Span}\,\{x^\alpha \theta_{i_1} \cdots \theta_{i_t} \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n; i_1, \cdots, i_t \in \overline{1,n}; \sum_{j=r+1}^{n} \alpha_j - \sum_{i=1}^{r} \alpha_i = k - t\}, \tag{2.5}$$

where $0 \leq t \leq n$. Then all $\mathcal{A}_{k,\,t}^r$ form $P(n-1)_{\bar{0}}$-submodules. Moreover,

$$\mathcal{A}_k^r = \bigoplus_{0 \leq t \leq \min\{\mathrm{k},\mathrm{n}\}} \mathcal{A}_{k,t}^r. \tag{2.6}$$

Let

$$\xi = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \theta_i. \tag{2.7}$$

We first introduce a lemma, which will be used for proving linear independence.

Lemma 2.1 *Let $A = (a_{i,j})$ be an $m \times m$ real matrix. If $|a_{i,i}| > \sum_{j \neq i} |a_{i,j}|$ for $i \in \overline{1,m}$, then $det(A) \neq 0$.*

We study the $P(n-1)$-module structure of $\mathcal{A}_k^r$ according to the following three cases.

2.1 $r = 0$

In this case, $\mathcal{A}_k^0 = \{0\}$ if $k < 0$. Moreover, all $\mathcal{A}_k^0$ are finite-dimensional $P(n-1)_{\bar{0}}$-modules, which are completely reducible. Denote by $U(\mathcal{G})$ the universal enveloping algebra of a Lie (super) algebra $\mathcal{G}$.

Lemma 2.2 *Suppose $k > 1$ and $1 \leq t < min\{k, n\}$. As $P(n-1)_{\bar{0}}$ submodules,*

$$\mathcal{A}_{k,t}^{0} = U(P(n-1)_{\bar{0}})(x_1^{k-t}\theta_{n-t+1}\cdots\theta_n) \oplus U(P(n-1)_{\bar{0}})(x_1^{k-t-1}\theta_{n-t+2}\cdots\theta_n\xi). \tag{2.8}$$

Moreover, the set

$$\begin{aligned}&\{(n+k-2t)x^{\alpha}\theta_{i_1}\cdots\theta_{i_t} + \sum_{p=1}^{t}\sum_{s=1}^{n}(-1)^p\alpha_{i_p}x^{\alpha-\epsilon_{i_p}+\epsilon_s}\theta_s\theta_{i_1}\cdots\hat{\theta_{i_p}}\cdots\theta_{i_t}\\ &\mid |\alpha| = k-t; i_1, \cdots, i_t < n \text{ } if \text{ } \exists\alpha_{i_p} \neq 0\}\end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

is a basis of $U(P(n-1)_{\bar{0}})(x_1^{k-t}\theta_{n-t+1}\cdots\theta_n)$ and the set

$$\{\sum_{p=1}^{t}\sum_{s=1}^{n}(-1)^p\alpha_{i_p}x^{\alpha-\epsilon_{i_p}+\epsilon_s}\theta_s\theta_{i_1}\cdots\hat{\theta_{i_p}}\cdots\theta_{i_t} \mid |\alpha| = k-t;\ n \in \{i_1, \cdots, i_t\}\} \tag{2.10}$$

is a basis of $U(P(n-1)_{\bar{0}})(x_1^{k-t-1}\theta_{n-t+2}\cdots\theta_n\xi)$.

Proof. Denote

$$\epsilon_i = (0, ..., 0, \overset{i}{1}, 0, ..., 0) \qquad \text{for } i \in \overline{1, n}. \tag{2.11}$$

First we claim that

$$\sum_{p=1}^{t+1}(-1)^p\beta_{i_p}x^{\beta-\epsilon_{i_p}}\theta_{i_1}\cdots\hat{\theta_{i_p}}\cdots\theta_{i_{t+1}} \in U(P(n-1)_{\bar{0}})(x_1^{k-t}\theta_{n-t+1}\cdots\theta_n) \tag{2.12}$$

for distinct $i_p \in \overline{1, n}$ and $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$ such that $|\beta| = k-t+2$. It it straightforward to verify

$$x_{i_1}^{k-t}\theta_{i_2}\cdots\theta_{i_{t+1}} \in U(P(n-1)_{\bar{0}})(x_1^{k-t}\theta_{n-t+1}\cdots\theta_n). \tag{2.13}$$

Thus we have

$$x^{\alpha}\theta_{i_2}\cdots\theta_{i_{t+1}} \in U(P(n-1)_{\bar{0}})(x_1^{k-t}\theta_{n-t+1}\cdots\theta_n) \tag{2.14}$$

for $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ such that $|\alpha| = k-t$ and $\alpha_{i_2} = \cdots = \alpha_{i_{t+1}} = 0$. Since

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{p=1}^{t+1}(-1)^p\beta_{i_p}x^{\beta-\epsilon_{i_p}}\theta_{i_1}\cdots\hat{\theta_{i_p}}\cdots\theta_{i_{t+1}} &= -\frac{\beta_{i_1}!}{(\beta_{i_1}+\cdots+\beta_{i_{t+1}}-1)!}\\ \times\prod_{p=2}^{t+1}(E_{i_p,i_1} - E_{n+i_1,n+i_p})^{\beta_{i_p}}&\big(x^{\beta+\sum_{p=2}^{t+1}\beta_{i_p}\epsilon_{i_1}-\sum_{p=2}^{t+1}\beta_{i_p}\epsilon_{i_p}-\epsilon_{i_1}}\theta_{i_2}\cdots\theta_{i_{t+1}}\big),\end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

(2.13) holds.

Note

$$A(\xi) = 0 \qquad \text{for } A \in P(n-1)_{\bar{0}}. \tag{2.16}$$

By the similar arguments as in the above, we have

$$\xi(\sum_{p=1}^{t}(-1)^p\alpha_{i_p}x^{\alpha-\epsilon_{i_p}}\theta_{i_1}\cdots\hat{\theta_{i_p}}\cdots\theta_{i_t}) \in U(P(n-1)_{\bar{0}})(x_1^{k-t-1}\theta_{n-t+2}\cdots\theta_n\xi) \tag{2.17}$$

for $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ such that $|\alpha| = k - t$. Set

$$\begin{aligned} f(\alpha; i_1, \cdots, i_t) &= (k+n-2t)x^\alpha \theta_{i_1}\cdots\theta_{i_t} \\ &+ \sum_{p=1}^{t}\sum_{s=1}^{n}(-1)^p \alpha_{i_p} x^{\alpha-\epsilon_{i_p}+\epsilon_s}\theta_s\theta_{i_1}\cdots\hat{\theta_{i_p}}\cdots\theta_{i_t}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} g(\alpha; i_1, \cdots, i_t) &= \xi\Big(\sum_{p=1}^{t}(-1)^p\alpha_{i_p}x^{\alpha-\epsilon_{i_p}}\theta_{i_1}\cdots\hat{\theta_{i_p}}\cdots\theta_{i_t}\Big) \\ &= \sum_{p=1}^{t}\sum_{s=1}^{n}(-1)^p\alpha_{i_p}x^{\alpha-\epsilon_{i_p}+\epsilon_s}\theta_s\theta_{i_1}\cdots\hat{\theta_{i_p}}\cdots\theta_{i_t}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} f(\alpha; i_1\cdots, i_t) &= \sum_{s\neq i_1,\cdots,i_t}\Big(\sum_{p=1}^{t}(-1)^p\alpha_{i_p}x^{\alpha+\epsilon_s-\epsilon_{i_p}}\theta_s\theta_{i_1}\cdots\hat{\theta_{i_p}}\cdots\theta_{i_t} \\ &\quad +(\alpha_s+1)x^\alpha\theta_{i_1}\cdots\theta_{i_t}\Big), \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

we get $f(\alpha; i_1, \cdots, i_t) \in P(n-1)_{\bar{0}}(x_1^{k-t}\theta_{n-t+1}\cdots\theta_n)$. Since

$$x^\alpha\theta_{i_1}\cdots\theta_{i_t} = \frac{1}{k+n-2t}\big(f(\alpha; i_1, \cdots, i_t) - g(\alpha; i_1, \cdots, i_t)\big), \tag{2.21}$$

we have

$$\mathcal{A}^0_{k,t} = U(P(n-1)_{\bar{0}})(x_1^{k-t}\theta_{n-t+1}\cdots\theta_n) \oplus U(P(n-1)_{\bar{0}})(x_1^{k-t-1}\theta_{n-t+2}\cdots\theta_n\xi). \tag{2.22}$$

Note that if $\alpha_n > 0$,

$$f(\alpha; i_1, \cdots, i_{t-1}, n) = (-1)^t \sum_{s\neq i_1,\cdots,i_{t-1},n} f(\alpha+\epsilon_s-\epsilon_n; s, i_1, \cdots, i_{t-1}) \tag{2.23}$$

and if $n \notin \{i_1, \cdots, i_t\}$,

$$g(\alpha; i_1, \cdots, i_t) = \frac{1}{\alpha_s+1}\sum_{p=1}^{t}(-1)^p\alpha_{i_p}g(\alpha+\epsilon_n-\epsilon_{i_p}; n, i_1, \cdots, \hat{i_p}, \cdots, i_t). \tag{2.24}$$

So the set (2.9) spans $U(P(n-1)_{\bar{0}})(x_1^{k-t}\theta_{n-t+1}\cdots\theta_n)$ and the set (2.10) spans $U(P(n-1)_{\bar{0}})(x_1^{k-t-1}\theta_{n-t+2}\cdots\theta_n\xi)$.

We still have to check the linear independence of (2.9) and (2.10). Assume

$$\sum c^\alpha_{i_1,\cdots,i_t} f(\alpha; i_1, \cdots, i_t) = 0. \tag{2.25}$$

Considering the coefficient of $x^\alpha\theta_{i_1}\cdots\theta_{i_t}$, we obtain

$$(n-t+\sum_{s\neq i_1,\cdots,i_t}\alpha_s)c^\alpha_{i_1,\cdots,i_t} + \sum_{\alpha_{i_p}>0, s\neq i_1,\cdots,i_t,n}(-1)^p(\alpha_s+1)c^{\alpha-\epsilon_{i_p}+\epsilon_s}_{s,i_1,\cdots,\hat{i_p},\cdots,i_t} = 0. \tag{2.26}$$

By Lemma 2.1, we get $c^\alpha_{i_1,\cdots,i_t} = 0$. If

$$\sum d^\alpha_{i_1,\cdots,i_t} g(\alpha; i_1, \cdots, i_t) = 0, \tag{2.27}$$

then

$$-(\sum_{p=1}^{t}\alpha_{i_p})d^{\alpha}_{i_1,\cdots,i_t}+\sum_{\alpha_{i_p}>0,i_p\neq n,s\neq i_1,\cdots,i_t,n}(-1)^p(\alpha_s+1)d^{\alpha-\epsilon_{i_p}+\epsilon_s}_{s,i_1,\cdots,\hat{i_p},\cdots,i_t}=0, \tag{2.28}$$

$$(-1)^p\alpha_{i_p}d^{\alpha}_{i_1,\cdots,i_t}-(\sum_{q=1,q\neq p}^{t}\alpha_{i_q}+\alpha_s+1)d^{\alpha-\epsilon_{i_p}+\epsilon_s}_{s,i_1,\cdots,\hat{i_p},\cdots,i_t}+\cdots=0,\ \ i_p\neq n,\alpha_{i_p}>0. \tag{2.29}$$

By Lemma 2.1 again, we get $d^{\alpha}_{i_1,\cdots,i_t}=0$. □

Theorem 2.3 *The subspace $\mathcal{A}^0_1$ is the natural irreducible $P(n-1)$-module. Moreover, $\mathcal{A}^0_k$ $(k>1)$ has a composition series*

$$\mathcal{A}^0_k\supset\langle x_1^k\rangle\supset\{0\}\ \textit{if}\ k\neq 1,n, \tag{2.30}$$

$$\mathcal{A}^0_n\supset\langle\theta_1\cdots\theta_n\rangle\supset\langle x_1^n\rangle\supset\{0\}. \tag{2.31}$$

In addition,

$$\langle x_1^k\rangle=\bigoplus_{0\leq t\leq k,n-1}U(P(n-1)_{\bar{0}})(x_1^{k-t}\theta_{n-t+1}\cdots\theta_n). \tag{2.32}$$

Proof. The first statement is obvious. We will prove the others by several steps.

1) The submodule $\langle x_1^k\rangle$ $(k>0)$ is irreducible.

Applying $E_{i,n+i}\mid_{\mathcal{A}^r}=x_i\partial_{\theta_i}$ to $0\neq f\in\mathcal{A}^0_k$, we have $x^\alpha\in\langle f\rangle$ for some α with $|\alpha|=k$. Since $\mathrm{Span}\{x^\alpha\mid\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n,\ |\alpha|=k\}$ is an irreducible $P(n-1)_{\bar{0}}-$submodule, we get $x_1^k\in\langle f\rangle$.

2) $\langle x_1^k\rangle=\bigoplus_{0\leq t\leq n-1,k}U(P(n-1)_{\bar{0}})(x_1^{k-t}\theta_{n-t+1}\cdots\theta_n)$ as $P(n-1)_{\bar{0}}$-submodule.

In fact,

$$x_1^{k-t}\theta_{n-t+1}\cdots\theta_n=\frac{(k-t)!}{k!}(E_{2n-t+1,1}-E_{n+1,n-t+1})\cdots(E_{2n,1}-E_{n+1,n})(x_1^k). \tag{2.33}$$

Hence

$$U(P(n-1)_{\bar{0}})(x_1^{k-t}\theta_{n-t+1}\cdots\theta_n)\subset\langle x_1^k\rangle\quad\text{for } 0\leq t\leq n-1,k. \tag{2.34}$$

Now we have to show that $\bigoplus_{0\leq t\leq n-1,k}U(P(n-1)_{\bar{0}})(x_1^{k-t}\theta_{n-t+1}\cdots\theta_n)$ is a $P(n-1)$-submodule. It is sufficient to check

$$P(n-1)_{\bar{1}}(x_1^{k-t}\theta_{n-t+1}\cdots\theta_n)\subset\bigoplus_{0\leq t\leq n-1,k}U(P(n-1)_{\bar{0}})(x_1^{k-t}\theta_{n-t+1}\cdots\theta_n) \tag{2.35}$$

by PBW Theorem.

Note that if $n-t<i,j\leq n$,

$$\begin{aligned}&(E_{i,n+j}+E_{j,n+i})(x_1^{k-t}\theta_{n-t+1}\cdots\theta_n)\\=\ &(-1)^{j-n+t}x_1^{k-t}x_i\theta_{n-t+1}\cdots\hat{\theta}_j\cdots\theta_n+(-1)^{i-n+t}x_1^{k-t}x_j\theta_{n-t+1}\cdots\hat{\theta}_i\cdots\theta_n.\end{aligned} \tag{2.36}$$

When $1 \leq i \leq n-t < j \leq n$,

$$(E_{i,n+j}+E_{j,n+i})(x_1^{k-t}\theta_{n-t+1}\cdots\theta_n) = (-1)^{j-n+t}x_1^{k-t}x_i\theta_{n-t+1}\cdots\hat{\theta}_j\cdots\theta_n. \tag{2.37}$$

In the case $1 \leq i,j \leq n-t$,

$$(E_{i,n+j}+E_{j,n+i})(x_1^{k-t}\theta_{n-t+1}\cdots\theta_n) = 0. \tag{2.38}$$

Thus

$$(E_{i,n+j}+E_{j,n+i})(x_1^{k-t}\theta_{n-t+1}\cdots\theta_n) \subset U(P(n-1)_{\bar{0}})(x_1^{k-t+1}\theta_{n-t+2}\cdots\theta_n). \tag{2.39}$$

Since

$$(E_{n+i,j}-E_{n+j,i})(x_1^{k-t}\theta_{n-t+1}\cdots\theta_n) = 0, \ \text{ if } i,j \neq 1, \tag{2.40}$$

and

$$(E_{n+i,1}-E_{n+1,i})(x_1^{k-t}\theta_{n-t+1}\cdots\theta_n) = (k-t)x_1^{k-t-1}\theta_i\theta_{n-t+1}\cdots\theta_n, \tag{2.41}$$

we get

$$(E_{n+i,j}-E_{n+j,i})(x_1^{k-t}\theta_{n-t+1}\cdots\theta_n) \subset U(P(n-1)_{\bar{0}})(x_1^{k-t-1}\theta_{n-t}\cdots\theta_n). \tag{2.42}$$

Hence (2.35) holds.

3) $\mathcal{A}_k^0/\langle x_1^k\rangle$ *is irreducible when* $k \neq 1,n$.

Since

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_k^0 = & \bigoplus_{0\leq t\leq n-1,k} U(P(n-1)_{\bar{0}})(x_1^{k-t}\theta_{n-t+1}\cdots\theta_n)\\ & \bigoplus_{0\leq t\leq n,k-1} U(P(n-1)_{\bar{0}})(x_1^{k-t-1}\xi\theta_{n-t+2}\cdots\theta_n),\end{aligned} \tag{2.43}$$

$$\mathcal{A}_k^0/\langle x_1^k\rangle \cong \bigoplus_{0\leq t\leq n,k-1} U(P(n-1)_{\bar{0}})(x_1^{k-t-1}\xi\theta_{n-t+2}\cdots\theta_n) \tag{2.44}$$

as $P(n-1)_{\bar{0}}$-modules. For any $f \in \mathcal{A}_k^0 \setminus \langle x_1^k\rangle$, there exists $\overline{x_1^{k-t_0-1}\xi\theta_{n-t_0+2}\cdots\theta_n} \in \langle\bar{f}\rangle$ for some $0 \leq t_0 \leq n, k-1$ by the complete reducibility of $\mathcal{A}_k^0$ as a $P(n-1)_{\bar{0}}$-module, where $\bar{f}$ is the image of f in $\mathcal{A}_k^0/\langle x_1^k\rangle$. We calculate

$$\begin{aligned}& \prod_{j=t}^{t_0-1}(E_{2n-j+2,1}-E_{n+1,n-j+2})(\overline{x_1^{k-t_0-1}\xi\theta_{n-t_0+2}\cdots\theta_n})\\ = \quad & \frac{(-1)^{t_0-t}(k-t_0-1)!}{(k-t-1)!}\overline{x_1^{k-t-1}\xi\theta_{n-t+2}\cdots\theta_n}\end{aligned} \tag{2.45}$$

for $t < t_0$ and

$$\begin{aligned}& \prod_{j=n-t'+2}^{n-t_0+2}(E_{1,n+j}+E_{j,n+1})(\overline{x_1^{k-t_0-1}\xi\theta_{n-t_0+2}\cdots\theta_n})\\ = \quad & (-1)^{t'-t_0}\overline{x_1^{k-t'-1}\xi\theta_{n-t'+2}\cdots\theta_n}\end{aligned} \tag{2.46}$$

for $t' > t_0$, which imply $\langle\bar{f}\rangle = \mathcal{A}_k^0/\langle x_1^k\rangle$.

4) It is easy to check $P(n-1)(\theta_1\cdots\theta_n) \subset \langle x_1^n\rangle$. So $\langle\theta_1\cdots\theta_n\rangle/\langle x_1^n\rangle = \mathbb{C}\overline{\theta_1\cdots\theta_n}$ is irreducible. By the similar argument as in 3), we get $\mathcal{A}_n^0/\langle\theta_1\cdots\theta_n\rangle$ is irreducible. $\square$

2.2 $r = n$

In this case, $\mathcal{A}_k^n = 0$ if $k > n$. Moreover, all $\mathcal{A}_k^n$ are finite-dimensional $P(n-1)_{\bar{0}}$-modules, which are completely reducible. Again we deal with the $P(n-1)_{\bar{0}}$-submodule $\mathcal{A}_{k,t}^n$ first (cf. (2.5))

Lemma 2.4 *When $k < t \leq n$,*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_{k,t}^n = \quad & U(P(n-1)_{\bar{0}})(x_n^{t-k-1}\sum_{p=n-t}^{n}(-1)^p x_p \theta_{n-t}\cdots\hat{\theta_p}\cdots\theta_n) \\ & \oplus U(P(n-1)_{\bar{0}})(x_n^{t-k}\theta_{n-t+1}\cdots\theta_n)\end{aligned} \tag{2.47}$$

as $P(n-1)_{\bar{0}}$-submodules. Moreover, the set

$$\begin{aligned}&\{(t-k)x^\alpha\theta_{i_1}\cdots\theta_{i_t} + \sum_{p=1}^{t}\sum_{s=1}^{n}(-1)^p\alpha_s x^{\alpha+\epsilon_{i_p}-\epsilon_s}\theta_s\theta_{i_1}\cdots\hat{\theta_{i_p}}\cdots\theta_{i_t} \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n, \\ &|\alpha| = t-k;\ \exists j > i_1, \cdots, i_t \text{ such that } \alpha_j \neq 0\}\end{aligned} \tag{2.48}$$

is a basis of $U(P(n-1)_{\bar{0}})(x_n^{t-k-1}\sum_{p=n-t}^{n}(-1)^p x_p\theta_{n-t}\cdots\hat{\theta_p}\cdots\theta_n)$ and the set

$$\begin{aligned}&\{tx^\alpha\theta_{i_1}\cdots\theta_{i_t} - \sum_{p=1}^{t}\sum_{s=1}^{n}(-1)^p\alpha_s x^{\alpha+\epsilon_{i_p}-\epsilon_s}\theta_s\theta_{i_1}\cdots\hat{\theta_{i_p}}\cdots\theta_{i_t} \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n, \\ &|\alpha| = t-k;\ \alpha_j = 0 \text{ for all } j > i_1, \cdots, i_t\}\end{aligned} \tag{2.49}$$

is a basis of $U(P(n-1)_{\bar{0}})(x_n^{t-k}\theta_{n-t+1}\cdots\theta_n)$.

Proof. Set

$$f'(\alpha; i_1, \cdots, i_t) = (t-k)x^\alpha\theta_{i_1}\cdots\theta_{i_t} + \sum_{p=1}^{t}\sum_{s=1}^{n}(-1)^p\alpha_s x^{\alpha+\epsilon_{i_p}-\epsilon_s}\theta_s\theta_{i_1}\cdots\hat{\theta_{i_p}}\cdots\theta_{i_t} \tag{2.50}$$

and

$$g'(\alpha; i_1, \cdots, i_t) = tx^\alpha\theta_{i_1}\cdots\theta_{i_t} - \sum_{p=1}^{t}\sum_{s=1}^{n}(-1)^p\alpha_s x^{\alpha+\epsilon_{i_p}-\epsilon_s}\theta_s\theta_{i_1}\cdots\hat{\theta_{i_p}}\cdots\theta_{i_t}. \tag{2.51}$$

Denote

$$\tilde{U}_t = U(P(n-1)_{\bar{0}})(x_n^{t-k-1}\sum_{p=n-t}^{n}(-1)^p x_p\theta_{n-t}\cdots\hat{\theta_p}\cdots\theta_n). \tag{2.52}$$

It is straightforward to check

$$x_{i_0}^{t-k-1}\sum_{p=0}^{t}(-1)^p x_{i_p}\theta_{i_0}\cdots\hat{\theta_{i_p}}\cdots\theta_t \in \tilde{U}_t. \tag{2.53}$$

for $i_0, \cdots, i_t \in \overline{1,n}$. Consequently,

$$x^\alpha\sum_{p=0}^{t}(-1)^p x_{i_p}\theta_{i_0}\cdots\hat{\theta_{i_p}}\cdots\theta_t \in \tilde{U}_t. \tag{2.54}$$

for $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ such that $|\alpha| = t-k-1, \alpha_s = 0$ for $s \neq i_0, \cdots, i_t$. Note

$$\begin{aligned}
&\prod_{s\neq i_0,\cdots,i_t} (-E_{i_0,s} + E_{n+s,n+i_0})^{\alpha_s}\big(f'(\alpha + \sum_{s\neq i_0,\cdots,i_t} \alpha_s\epsilon_{i_0} - \sum_{s\neq i_0,\cdots,i_t} \alpha_s\epsilon_{i_s}; i_1, \cdots, i_t)\big) \\
= \ &\frac{(\alpha_{i_0} + \sum_{s\neq i_0,\cdots,i_t} \alpha_s - 1)!}{\alpha_{i_0}!} f'(\alpha; i_1, \cdots, \imath_t). \qquad (2.55)
\end{aligned}$$

So $f'(\alpha; i_1, \cdots, i_t) \in \tilde{U}_t$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ with $|\alpha| = t-k$.

Since $x_{i_1}^{t-k}\theta_{i_1}\cdots\theta_{i_t} \in U(P(n-1)_{\bar{0}})(x_n^{t-k}\theta_{n-t+1}\cdots\theta_n)$, we have $x^\alpha\theta_{i_1}\cdots\theta_{i_t} \in U(P(n-1)_{\bar{0}})(x_n^{t-k}\theta_{n-t+1}\cdots\theta_n)$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ with $|\alpha| = t-k$ and $\alpha_s = 0$ if $s \neq i_1, \cdots, i_t$. Note

$$\begin{aligned}
g'(\alpha; i_1, \cdots, i_t) \ &= \ \sum_{p=1}^{t} \frac{(\alpha_{i_p}+1)!}{(\alpha_{i_p} + \sum_{s\neq i_1,\cdots,i_t} \alpha_s)!} \prod_{s\neq i_1,\cdots,i_t} (-E_{i_p,s} + E_{n+s,n+i_p})^{\alpha_s} \\
&\qquad (x^{\alpha + \sum_{s\neq i_1,\cdots,i_t} \alpha_s\epsilon_{i_p} - \sum_{s\neq i_1,\cdots,i_t} \alpha_s\epsilon_s}\theta_{i_1}\cdots\theta_{i_t}). \qquad (2.56)
\end{aligned}$$

So we obtain $g'(\alpha; i_1, \cdots, i_t) \in U(P(n-1)_{\bar{0}})(x_n^{t-k}\theta_{n-t+1}\cdots\theta_n)$ for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ with $|\alpha| = t-k$. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}_{k,t}^n \ &= \ \text{Span}\,\{f'(\alpha; i_1, \cdots, i_t), g'(\alpha, i_t, \cdots, i_t) \mid \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n, \\
&\qquad |\alpha| = t-k;\ i_1, \cdots, i_t \in \overline{1,n}\}, \qquad (2.57)
\end{aligned}$$

which implies (2.47). If $\alpha_s = 0$ for $s > i_1, \cdots, i_t$, we can assume $i_t = \max\{j \mid \alpha_j > 0\}$ and have

$$f'(\alpha; i_1, \cdots, i_t) = \frac{(-1)^t}{\alpha_{i_t}+1} \sum_{s\in\overline{1,n}\setminus\{i_1,\cdots,i_t\}} \alpha_s f'(\alpha + \epsilon_{i_t} - \epsilon_s; s, i_1, \cdots, i_{t-1}). \qquad (2.58)$$

If $\exists j > i_1, \cdots, i_t$ such that $\alpha_j > 0$, let $s = \max\{j \mid \alpha_j > 0\}$. Note that $s > i_1, \cdots, i_t$ and

$$g'(\alpha; i_1, \cdots, i_t) = -\sum_{p=1}^{t}(-1)^p g'(\alpha + \epsilon_{i_p} - \epsilon_s; s, i_1, \cdots, \hat{i_p}, \cdots, i_t). \qquad (2.59)$$

Now (2.48) spans $\tilde{U}_t$ and (2.49) spans $U(P(n-1)_{\bar{0}})(x_n^{t-k}\theta_{n-t+1}\cdots\theta_n)$ by (3.58)-(3.59). Lemma 2.1 implies that the sets (2.48) and (2.49) are linear independent. $\square$

Theorem 2.5 *The subspace $\mathcal{A}_k^n = 0$ when $k > n$. Moreover, the submodules $\mathcal{A}_n^n$ and $\mathcal{A}_{n-1}^n$ are irreducible. When $k \leq n-2$, we have the following composition series:*

$$\mathcal{A}_k^n \supset \langle x_n^{n-k-2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^i x_i\theta_1\cdots\hat{\theta}_i\cdots\theta_n\rangle \supset \{0\} \qquad \textit{if } k \leq n-2, k \neq 0, \qquad (2.60)$$

$$\mathcal{A}_0^n \supset \langle 1\rangle \supset \langle x_n^{n-2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^i x_i\theta_1\cdots\hat{\theta}_i\cdots\theta_n\rangle \supset \{0\}. \qquad (2.61)$$

Furthermore, in terms of (2.52),

$$\langle x_n^{n-k-2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^i x_i\theta_1\cdots\hat{\theta}_i\cdots\theta_n\rangle = \bigoplus_{max\{0,k+1\}\leq t\leq n} \tilde{U}_t. \qquad (2.62)$$

Proof. The first and second statement are trivial. Now assume $k \leq n-2$.

1) The module $\langle x_n^{n-k-2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^i x_i\theta_1\cdots\hat{\theta}_i\cdots\theta_n\rangle$ *is the minimal submodule of* $\mathcal{A}_k^n$.

Given $0 \neq f \in \mathcal{A}_k^n$. There should be a weight vector $g \in \langle f\rangle$ such that $P(n-1)_{\bar{0}}^{+}(g) = 0$ by the completely reducibility of $\mathcal{A}_k^n$ as a $P(n-1)_{\bar{0}}$-module. Up to a scalar multiple,

$$g = x_n^{n-s-1-k}\sum_{p=s}^{n}(-1)^p x_p\theta_s\cdots\hat{\theta}_p\cdots\theta_n \text{ or } x_n^{n-s+1-k}\theta_s\cdots\theta_n \tag{2.63}$$

for some s. If $g = x_n^{n-s-1-k}\sum_{p=s}^{n}(-1)^p x_p\theta_s\cdots\hat{\theta}_p\cdots\theta_n$, then

$$\prod_{i=1}^{s-1}(E_{i,2n} - E_{n,n+i})(g) = x_n^{n-k-2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^i x_i\theta_1\cdots\hat{\theta}_i\cdots\theta_n \in \langle f\rangle. \tag{2.64}$$

When $g = x_n^{n-s+1-k}\theta_s\cdots\theta_n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_n^{n-k}\theta_1\cdots\theta_n &= \prod_{i=1}^{s-1}(E_{2n,i} - E_{n+i,n})(g) \in \langle f\rangle, & (2.65)\\ x_n^{n-k-1}\theta_1\cdots\theta_{n-1} &= \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{n-k}E_{n,2n}.(x_n^{n-k}\theta_1\cdots\theta_n) \in \langle f\rangle, & (2.66)\\ x_p x_n^{t-k-1}\theta_1\cdots\hat{\theta}_p\cdots\theta_n &= \frac{(-1)^{p-1}}{n-k-1}\big((E_{p,2n} + E_{n,n+p})(x_p x_n^{n-k-1}\theta_1\cdots\theta_n) & \\ &\quad +(-1)^n x_n^{n-k-1}\theta_1\cdots\theta_{n-1}\big). & (2.67)\end{aligned}$$

Anyway, $x_n^{n-k-2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^i x_i\theta_1\cdots\hat{\theta}_i\cdots\theta_n \in \langle f\rangle$.

2) Equation (2.62) holds.

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & x_n^{t-k-1}\sum_{p=n-t}^{n}(-1)^p x_p\theta_{n-t}\cdots\hat{\theta}_p\cdots\theta_n \\ = \quad & \frac{(t-k-1)!}{(n-k-2)!}\prod_{i=1}^{n-t-1}(-E_{i,2n} - E_{n,n+i})(x_n^{n-k-2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^i x_i\theta_1\cdots\hat{\theta}_i\cdots\theta_n), \quad (2.68)\end{aligned}$$

we get

$$\tilde{U}_t \subset \langle x_n^{n-k-2}\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^i x_i\theta_1\cdots\hat{\theta}_i\cdots\theta_n\rangle. \tag{2.69}$$

It is straightforward to verify

$$(E_{i,n+j} + E_{j,n+i})(x_n^{t-k-1}\sum_{p=n-t}^{n}(-1)^p x_p\theta_{n-t}\cdots\hat{\theta}_p\cdots\theta_n) \in \tilde{U}_{t-1} \tag{2.70}$$

and

$$(E_{n+i,j} - E_{n+j,i})(x_n^{t-k-1}\sum_{p=n-t}^{n}(-1)^p x_p\theta_{n-t}\cdots\hat{\theta}_p\cdots\theta_n) \in \tilde{U}_{t+1}. \tag{2.71}$$

Therefore, the right side of (2.62) is a $P(n-1)$-submodule.

3) The quotient module $\mathcal{A}_k^n/\langle x_n^{n-k-2}\sum_{i=1}^n(-1)^i x_i\theta_1\cdots\hat{\theta}_i\cdots\theta_n\rangle$ *is irreducible when* $k\neq 0$.

Since

$$\tilde{U}_t\subset\langle x_n^{n-k-2}\sum_{i=1}^n(-1)^i x_i\theta_1\cdots\hat{\theta}_i\cdots\theta_n\rangle,\tag{2.72}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{A}_k^n/\langle x_n^{n-k-2}\sum_{i=1}^n(-1)^i x_i\theta_1\cdots\hat{\theta}_i\cdots\theta_n\rangle\\ \cong&\bigoplus_{1\leq s\leq n,n+1-k}U(P(n-1)_{\bar{0}})(x_n^{n-s+1-k}\theta_s\cdots\theta_n)\end{aligned}\tag{2.73}$$

as $P(n-1)_{\bar{0}}$-modules. We use $\bar{u}$ to denote the image in the quotient space for $u\in\mathcal{A}_k^n$. For any $0\neq f\in\mathcal{A}_k^n\setminus\langle x_n^{n-k-2}\sum_{i=1}^n(-1)^i x_i\theta_1\cdots\hat{\theta}_i\cdots\theta_n\rangle$, we have $\bar{g}=\overline{x_n^{n-s+1-k}\theta_s\cdots\theta_n}\in\langle\bar{f}\rangle$ for some s. Since

$$(E_{n,n+s}+E_{s,2n})(\bar{g})=(n-s+1-k)\overline{x_n^{n-s-k}\theta_{s+1}\cdots\theta_n}\tag{2.74}$$

and

$$(E_{2n,s-1}-E_{n+s-1,n})(\bar{g})=\overline{x_n^{n-s+2-k}\theta_{s-1}\cdots\theta_n},\tag{2.75}$$

we have $\overline{x_n^{n-s+1-k}\theta_s\cdots\theta_n}\in\langle\bar{f}\rangle$ for all $0<s\leq\min\{n,n+1-k\}$. Thus $\langle\bar{f}\rangle=\mathcal{A}_k^n/\langle x_n^{n-k-2}\sum_{i=1}^n(-1)^i x_i\theta_1\cdots\hat{\theta}_i\cdots\theta_n\rangle$.

4) $k=0$.

Since

$$(E_{i,j}-E_{n+j,n+i})(1)=(-x_j\partial_{x_i}-\theta_j\partial_{\theta_i})(1)=0,\tag{2.76}$$

$$(E_{i,n+j}+E_{j,n+i})(1)=(\partial_{x_i}\partial_{\theta_j}+\partial_{x_j}\partial_{\theta_i})(1)=0\tag{2.77}$$

$$\textit{and}\qquad(E_{n+i,j}-E_{n+j,i})(1)=x_i\theta_j-x_j\theta_i\in\langle x_n^{n-k-2}\sum_{i=1}^n(-1)^i x_i\theta_1\cdots\hat{\theta}_i\cdots\theta_n\rangle\tag{2.78}$$

for $i,j\in\overline{1,n}$, we get

$$P(n-1)(1)\subset\langle x_n^{n-k-2}\sum_{i=1}^n(-1)^i x_i\theta_1\cdots\hat{\theta}_i\cdots\theta_n\rangle\tag{2.79}$$

by (2.48) with $t=1$, (2.52) and (2.62). Thus $\langle 1\rangle/\langle x_n^{n-k-2}\sum_{i=1}^n(-1)^i x_i\theta_1\cdots\hat{\theta}_i\cdots\theta_n\rangle=\mathbb{C}\bar{1}$ is irreducible. By the similar arguments as those in 3), we can prove that $\mathcal{A}_0^n/\langle 1\rangle$ is irreducible. $\square$

2.3 $0 < r < n$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 &= \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \mathbb{C}(E_{i,i} - E_{n+i,n+i} - E_{i+1,i+1} + E_{n+i+1,n+i+1}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i,j\in\overline{1,r},i\neq j} \mathbb{C}(E_{i,j} - E_{n+j,n+i}), \qquad (2.80) \\ L_2 &= \sum_{i=r+1}^{n-1} \mathbb{C}(E_{i,i} - E_{n+i,n+i} - E_{i+1,i+1} + E_{n+i+1,n+i+1}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i,j\in\overline{r+1,n},i\neq j} \mathbb{C}(E_{i,j} - E_{n+j,n+i}), \qquad (2.81) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$L_1^+ = \sum_{1\leq i<j\leq r} \mathbb{C}(E_{i,j} - E_{n+j,n+i}), \quad L_2^+ = \sum_{r+1\leq i<j\leq n} \mathbb{C}(E_{i,j} - E_{n+j,n+i}). \qquad (2.82)$$

Recall that

$$\mathcal{A}_{k,l}^r = \mathrm{Span}\{x^\alpha\theta_{i_1}\cdots\theta_{i_l} \mid i_1,\cdots,i_l\in\overline{1,n};\ \sum_{j=r+1}^n \alpha_j - \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i + l = k\}$$

is a $P(n-1)_{\bar{0}}$ submodule. Let $V_{k,l}^r$ be the $P(n-1)_{\bar{0}}$-submodule of $\mathcal{A}_{k,l}^r$ generated by

Table 1

$x_{r+1}^{k-l}\theta_{n-l+1}\cdots\theta_n$	if $l < n-r, l \leq k$
$x_r^{l-k}\theta_{n-l+1}\cdots\theta_n$	if $k < l < n-r$
$(k-l+1)x_{r+1}^{k-l}\theta_{n-l}\cdots\theta_r\theta_{r+2}\cdots\theta_n +$ $\sum\limits_{p=n-l}^{r}(-1)^{p-r}x_p\theta_{n-l}\cdots\hat{\theta}_p\cdots\theta_r x_{r+1}^{k-l+1}\theta_{r+1}\cdots\theta_n$	if $n-r \leq l \leq k, l \neq \frac{1}{2}(k+n-r)$
$\sum\limits_{p=n-l-1}^{r}(-1)^p x_p\theta_{n-l-1}\cdots\hat{\theta}_p\cdots\theta_r x_{r+1}^{k-l+1}\theta_{r+2}\cdots\theta_n$	if $n-r \leq l \leq k, l = \frac{1}{2}(k+n-r)$
$x_r^{l-k-1}\sum\limits_{p=n-l}^{r}(-1)^p x_p\theta_{n-l}\cdots\hat{\theta}_p\cdots\theta_r\theta_{r+1}\cdots\theta_n$	if $l > k, l \geq n-r$

and let $W_{k,l}^r$ be $P(n-1)_{\bar{0}}$-submodule generated by

Table 2

$x_{r+1}^{k-l-1}\xi_r\theta_{n-l+2}\cdots\theta_n$	if $l < k, l \leq n-r$
$(l-k+1)x_r^{l-k}\theta_r\theta_{n-l+2}\cdots\theta_n + x_r^{l-k+1}\xi_r\theta_{n-l+2}\cdots\theta_n$	if $k \leq l \leq n-r, l \neq \frac{1}{2}(k+n-r)$
$x_r^{l-k+1}\theta_r\xi_r\theta_{n-l+3}\cdots\theta_n$	if $k \leq l \leq n-r, l = \frac{1}{2}(k+n-r)$
$x_{r+1}^{l-k}\theta_{n-l+1}\cdots\theta_n$	if $n-r \leq l < k$
$x_r^{l-k}\theta_{n-l+1}\cdots\theta_n$	if $l \geq k, l > n-r$

where $\xi_r = \sum\limits_{i=r+1}^{r} x_i\theta_i$.

Lemma 2.6 *The subspace* $\mathcal{A}^r_{k,l}=V^r_{k,l}\oplus W^r_{k,l}$ *if* $l\neq\frac{1}{2}(k+n-r)$. *When* $l=\frac{1}{2}(k+n-r)$, *we have the following composition series of* $P(n-1)_{\bar{0}}$*-submodules:*

$$\mathcal{A}^r_{k,l}\supset V^r_{k,l}+W^r_{k,l}\supset V^r_{k,l}\ (or\ \ W^r_{k,l})\supset V^r_{k,l}\bigcap W^r_{k,l}\supset\{0\}. \tag{2.83}$$

Proof. Set

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}^r_{k',k'',t,s}\ &=\ \mathrm{Span}\{x^\alpha\theta_{i_1}\cdots\theta_{i_t}\theta_{j_1}\cdots\theta_{j_s}\mid i_1,\cdots,i_t\in\overline{1,r},j_1,\cdots,j_s\in\overline{r+1,n};\\ &\qquad\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n,\ \sum_{i=1}^r\alpha_i=t-k',\ \sum_{i=r+1}^n\alpha_i=k''-s\}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.84}$$

Note that $\mathcal{A}^r_{k,l}=\bigoplus_{k'+k''=k,s+t=l}\mathcal{A}^r_{k',k'',t,s}$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathcal{A}^r_{k',k'',t,s}=U(L_1+L_2)(x_r^{t-k'}\theta_{r-t+1}\cdots\theta_rx_{r+1}^{k''-s-1}\xi_r\theta_{n-s+2}\cdots\theta_n)\\ &\oplus U(L_1+L_2)(x_r^{t-k'}\theta_{r-t+1}\cdots\theta_rx_{r+1}^{k''-s}\theta_{n-s+1}\cdots\theta_n)\\ &\oplus U(L_1+L_2)(x_r^{t-k'-1}\sum_{p=r-t}^r(-1)^px_p\theta_{r-t}\cdots\hat{\theta}_p\cdots\theta_rx_{r+1}^{k''-s-1}\xi_r\theta_{n-s+2}\cdots\theta_n)\\ &\oplus U(L_1+L_2)(x_r^{t-k'-1}\sum_{p=r-t}^r(-1)^px_p\theta_{r-t}\cdots\hat{\theta}_p\cdots\theta_rx_{r+1}^{k''-s}\theta_{n-s+1}\cdots\theta_n)\end{aligned} \tag{2.85}$$

if $0\leq s<n-r$, $0\leq t<r$. We claim that

$$x_r^{\alpha_r-1}\sum_{p=r-t}^r(-1)^px_p\theta_{r-t}\cdots\hat{\theta}_p\cdots\theta_rx_{r+1}^{\alpha_{r+1}}\theta_{n-s+1}\cdots\theta_n\in V^r_{k,l} \tag{2.86}$$

for all $0<l\leq n$, $0\leq t<r$, $0<s<n-r$, $s+t=l$ and $\alpha_{r+1}-\alpha_r+l=k$. We prove it case by case.

(a) $l<n-r$.

We have

$$x_r^{\alpha_r}x_{r+1}^{\alpha_{r+1}}\theta_{n-l+1}\cdots\theta_n\in V^r_{k,l} \tag{2.87}$$

because

$$(E_{r+1,r}-E_{n+r,n+r+1})|_{\mathcal{A}^r}=-x_rx_{r+1}+\theta_r\partial_{\theta_{r+1}}. \tag{2.88}$$

Assume

$$x_r^{\alpha_r-1}\sum_{p=r-t+1}^r(-1)^px_p\theta_{r-t+1}\cdots\hat{\theta}_p\cdots\theta_rx_{r+1}^{\alpha_{r+1}}\theta_{n-s}\cdots\theta_n\in V^r_{k,l}. \tag{2.89}$$

We have

$$h_i=x_r^{\alpha_r-1}\sum_{i\neq p=r-t}^r(-1)^p\mathrm{sgn}(p-i)x_p\theta_{r-t}\cdots\hat{\theta}_i\cdots\hat{\theta}_p\cdots\theta_rx_{r+1}^{\alpha_{r+1}}\theta_{n-s}\cdots\theta_n\in V^r_{k,l}, \tag{2.90}$$

where

$$\mathrm{sgn}(p-i)=\begin{cases}1 & \text{if}\ \ p>i,\\ 0 & \text{if}\ \ p=i,\\ -1 & \text{if}\ \ p<i.\end{cases} \tag{2.91}$$

Note

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum(-1)^i(-E_{n-s,i}+E_{n+i,2n-s})(h_i)\\ = \quad & -tx_r^{\alpha_r-1}\sum_{p=r-t}^{r}(-1)^{p-r}x_p\theta_{r-t}\cdots\hat{\theta}_p\cdots\theta_r x_{r+1}^{\alpha_{r+1}}\theta_{n-s+1}\cdots\theta_n.\end{aligned}\tag{2.92}$$

So (2.86) holds when $l<n-r$.

(b) $n-r\leq l\leq k$.

If $l\neq\frac{1}{2}(k+n-r)$, we set

$$\begin{aligned}g_{n-l-1} &= (-E_{r+1,n-l-1}+E_{n-l-1,r+1}).\big((k-l+1)x_{r+1}^{k-l}\theta_{n-l}\cdots\theta_r\theta_{r+2}\cdots\theta_n\\ &\quad+\sum_{p=n-l}^{r}(-1)^{p-r}x_p\theta_{n-l}\cdots\hat{\theta}_p\cdots\theta_r x_{r+1}^{k-l+1}\theta_{r+1}\cdots\theta_n\big)\\ &= (k-l+1)x_{n-l-1}\theta_{n-l}\cdots\theta_r x_{r+1}^{k-l+1}\theta_{r+2}\cdots\theta_n\\ &\quad+\sum_{p=n-l}^{r}(-1)^{p-r}x_{n-l-1}x_p\theta_{n-l}\cdots\hat{\theta}_p\cdots\theta_r x_{r+1}^{k-l+2}\theta_{r+1}\cdots\theta_n\\ &\quad+\sum_{p=n-l}^{r}(-1)^{p-n+l}x_p\theta_{n-l-1}\cdots\hat{\theta}_p\cdots\theta_r x_{r+1}^{k-l+2}\theta_{r+2}\cdots\theta_n,\end{aligned}\tag{2.93}$$

$$\begin{aligned}g_i &= (-1)^{i-n+l}(-E_{r+1,i}+E_{n+i,n+r+1})(E_{i,n-l-1}E_{2n-l-1,n+i})\big((k-l+1)\theta_{n-l}\cdots\theta_r\\ &\quad\times x_{r+1}^{k-l}\theta_{r+2}\cdots\theta_n+\sum_{p=n-l}^{r}(-1)^{p-r}x_p\theta_{n-l}\cdots\hat{\theta}_p\cdots\theta_r x_{r+1}^{k-l+1}\theta_{r+1}\cdots\theta_n\big)\\ &= (k-l+1)x_i\theta_{n-l-1}\cdots\hat{\theta}_i\theta_r x_{r+1}^{k-l+1}\theta_{r+2}\cdots\theta_n\\ &\quad+\sum_{i\neq p=n-l-1}^{r}(-1)^{p-r}\mathrm{sgn}(p-i)x_ix_p\theta_{n-l-1}\cdots\hat{\theta}_i\cdots\hat{\theta}_p\cdots\theta_r x_{r+1}^{k-l+2}\theta_{r+1}\cdots\theta_n\\ &\quad+\sum_{i\neq p=n-l-1}^{r}(-1)^{p+i+1}x_p\theta_{n-l-1}\cdots\hat{\theta}_p\cdots\theta_r x_{r+1}^{k-l+2}\theta_{r+2}\cdots\theta_n\end{aligned}\tag{2.94}$$

for $i=n-l,\cdots,r$. We calculate

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{p=n-l-1}^{r}(-1)^p x_p\theta_{n-l-1}\cdots\hat{\theta}_p\cdots\theta_r x_{r+1}^{k-l+1}\theta_{r+2}\cdots\theta_n\\ =\quad & \frac{1}{k+n-r-2l}\sum_{i=n-l-1}^{r}(-1)^i g_i\in V_{k,l}^r.\end{aligned}\tag{2.95}$$

Again by induction on t, we obtain (2.86) holds for $n-r\leq l\leq k$. It can be similarly proved when $l>k$ and $l\geq n-r$.

Now let

$$\begin{aligned} f_j &= \frac{1}{\alpha_{r+1}+1}(E_{r-t,j} - E_{n+j,n+r-t})(E_{j,r+1} - E_{n+j,n+r-t})(-E_{r+1,r} - E_{n+r,n+r+1}). \\ &\quad \big(x_r^{\alpha_r-1}\sum_{p=r-t}^{r}(-1)^p x_p\theta_{r-t}\cdots\hat{\theta}_p\cdots\theta_r x_{r+1}^{\alpha_{r+1}}\theta_{n-s+1}\cdots\theta_n\big) \\ &= (-1)^{r-t} x_r^{\alpha_r}\theta_{r-t+1}\cdots\theta_r x_{r+1}^{\alpha_{r+1}}\theta_{n-s+1}\cdots\theta_n \\ &\quad + x_r^{\alpha_r}\sum_{p=r-t+1}^{r}(-1)^{p-t}x_p\theta_{r-t+1}\cdots\hat{\theta}_p\cdots\theta_r x_{r+1}^{\alpha_{r+1}} x_j\theta_j\theta_{n-s+1}\cdots\theta_n, \end{aligned} \tag{2.96}$$

$j = r+1, \cdots, n-s$. Taking summation on j, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &(\alpha_{r+1}+n-r-s)x_r^{\alpha_r}\theta_{r-t+1}\cdots\theta_r x_{r+1}^{\alpha_{r+1}}\theta_{n-s+1}\cdots\theta_n + x_r^{\alpha_r}\sum_{p=r-t+1}^{r}(-1)^{p-r}x_p \\ &\times\theta_{r-t+1}\cdots\hat{\theta}_p\cdots\theta_r x_{r+1}^{\alpha_{r+1}}\xi_r\theta_{n-s+1}\cdots\theta_n \in V_{k,l}^r. \end{aligned} \tag{2.97}$$

It is not difficult to verify that the subspace

$$\begin{aligned} &\bigoplus_{\substack{s+t=l,\\ \alpha_{r+1}-\alpha_r=k-l}} \big\{U(L_1+L_2)(x_r^{\alpha_r-1}\sum_{p=r-t}^{p}(-1)^p x_p\theta_{r-t}\cdots\hat{\theta}_p\cdots\theta_r x_{r+1}^{\alpha_{r+1}}\theta_{n-s+1}\cdots\theta_n) \\ &\oplus U(L_1+L_2)[(\alpha_{r+1}+n-r-s)x_r^{\alpha_r}\theta_{r-t+1}\cdots\theta_r x_{r+1}^{\alpha_{r+1}}\theta_{n-s+1}\cdots\theta_n \\ &+x_r^{\alpha_r}\sum_{p=r-t+1}^{r}(-1)^{p-r}x_p\theta_{r-t+1}\cdots\hat{\theta}_p\cdots\theta_r x_{r+1}^{\alpha_{r+1}}\xi_r\theta_{n-s+1}\cdots\theta_n]\big\} \end{aligned} \tag{2.98}$$

is invariant under $P(n-1)_{\bar{0}}$, which implies that it is equal to $V_{k,l}^r$ exactly.

By a similar argument, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} W_{k,l}^r &= \bigoplus_{\substack{s+t=l,\\ \alpha_{r+1}-\alpha_r=k-l}} \big\{U(L_1+L_2)(x_r^{\alpha_r}\theta_{r-t+1}\cdots\theta_r x_{r+1}^{\alpha_{r+1}-1}\xi_r\theta_{n-s+2}\cdots\theta_n) \\ &\qquad \oplus U(L_1+L_2)[(\alpha_r+t)x_r^{\alpha_r}\theta_{r-t+1}\cdots\theta_r x_{r+1}^{\alpha_{r+1}}\theta_{n-s+1}\cdots\theta_n + \\ &\qquad x_r^{\alpha_r}\sum_{p=r-t+1}^{r}(-1)^{p-r}x_p\theta_{r-t+1}\cdots\hat{\theta}_p\cdots\theta_r x_{r+1}^{\alpha_{r+1}}\xi_r\theta_{n-s+1}\cdots\theta_n]\big\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.99}$$

Now it is easy to see $\mathcal{A}_{k,l}^r = V_{k,l}^r \oplus W_{k,l}^r$ if $l \neq \frac{1}{2}(k+n-r)$.

Now assume $l = \frac{1}{2}(k+n-r)$.

According to the above arguments,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{k,l}^r/(V_{k,l}^r + W_{k,l}^r) &= \bigoplus_{\substack{s+t=l,\\ \alpha_{r+1}-\alpha_r+l=k}} U(L_1+L_2)(x_r^{\alpha_r}\theta_{r-t+1}\cdots\theta_r x_{r+1}^{\alpha_{r+1}}\theta_{n-s+1}\cdots\theta_n) \\ = \bigoplus_{\substack{s+t=l,\\ \alpha_{r+1}-\alpha_r+l=k}} & U(L_1+L_2)(x_r^{\alpha_r}\sum_{p=r-t+1}^{r}(-1)^p x_p\theta_{r-t+1}\cdots\hat{\theta}_p\cdots\theta_r \\ &\times x_{r+1}^{\alpha_{r+1}}\xi_r\theta_{n-s+1}\cdots\theta_n). \end{aligned} \tag{2.100}$$

For any $0 \neq f \in \mathcal{A}^r_{k,l} \setminus (V^r_{k,l} + W^r_{k,l})$, there should be some weight vector $\bar{g} \in U(P(n-1)_{\bar{0}})(\bar{f})$ such that $L^+_1(\bar{g}) = 0$ and $L^+_2(\bar{g}) = 0$. Up to a scalar multiple,

$$g \equiv x_r^{k_r} \sum_{p=r-t_0+1}^{r} (-1)^p x_p \theta_{r-t_0+1} \cdots \hat{\theta}_p \cdots \theta_r x_{r+1}^{k_{r+1}} \xi_r \theta_{n-s_0+1} \cdots \theta_n \ (\mathrm{mod}\ V^r_{k,l} + W^r_{k,l}) \quad (2.101)$$

for some $s_0, t_0, k_r, k_{r+1} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $s_0 + t_0 = l$, $k_{r+1} - k_r = k - l$ and $0 \leq s_0 < n - r$.

(1) $l > n - r$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & (E_{n-l-1,r+2} - E_{n+r+2,2n-l-1}) \cdots (E_{r-t_0+1,n-s_0} - E_{2n-s_0,n+r-t_0+1})(g) \\ \equiv\ & (-1)^{\sum_{j=r-n+l+2}^{t_0} j} \big(x_r^{k_r} \sum_{p=n-l}^{r} (-1)^p x_p \theta_{n-l} \cdots \hat{\theta}_p \cdots \theta_r x_{r+1}^{k_{r+1}+1} \theta_{r+1} \cdots \theta_n\big) \\ & (\mathrm{mod}\ V^r_{k,l} + W^r_{k,l}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.102)$$

Furthermore,

$$x_r^{\alpha_r} \sum_{p=n-l}^{r} (-1)^p x_p \theta_{n-l} \cdots \hat{\theta}_p \cdots \theta_r x_{r+1}^{\alpha_{r+1}+1} \theta_{r+1} \cdots \theta_n \in U(P(n-1)_{\bar{0}})(f) + V^r_{k,l} + W^r_{k,l} \quad (2.103)$$

for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ such that $\alpha_{r+1} - \alpha_r = k - l$ because

$$(E_{r,r+1} - E_{n+r+1,n+r})|_{\mathcal{A}^r} = \partial_{x_r} \partial_{x_{r+1}} - \theta_{r+1} \partial_{\theta_r}, \quad (2.104)$$

$$(E_{r+1,r} - E_{n+r,n+r+1})|_{\mathcal{A}^r} = -x_r x_{r+1} - \theta_r \partial_{\theta_{r+1}}. \quad (2.105)$$

Therefore,

$$\mathcal{A}^r_{k',k'',l-n+r,n-r} \subset U(P(n-1)_{\bar{0}})(f) + V^r_{k,l} + W^r_{k,l} \qquad \text{for } k'' - k' + l = k. \quad (2.106)$$

By induction on t, we obtain

$$\mathcal{A}^r_{k',k'',t,s} \subset U(P(n-1)_{\bar{0}})(f) + V^r_{k,l} + W^r_{k,l} \ \text{ for } k'' - k' + l = k, s + t = l, t \geq l - n + r. \quad (2.107)$$

(2) $l \leq n - r$.

Note

$$\begin{aligned} & (E_{r-1,n-l+2} - E_{2n-l+2,n+r-1}) \cdots (E_{r-t_0+1,n-s_0} - E_{2n-s_0,n+r-t_0+1})(g) \\ \equiv\ & (-1)^{\sum_{j=1}^{t_0} j} \big(x_r^{k_r} x_{r+1}^{k_{r+1}} \xi_r \theta_{n-l+2} \cdots \theta_n\big) \ (\mathrm{mod}\ V^r_{k,l} + W^r_{k,l}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.108)$$

Consequently,

$$x_r^{\alpha_r} x_{r+1}^{\alpha_{r+1}} \xi_r \theta_{n-l+2} \cdots \theta_n \in U(P(n-1)_{\bar{0}})(f) + V^r_{k,l} + W^r_{k,l} \quad (2.109)$$

for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n$ such that $\alpha_{r+1} - \alpha_r = k - l$, which implies

$$\mathcal{A}^r_{k',k'',0,l} \subset U(P(n-1)_{\bar{0}})(f) + V^r_{k,l} + W^r_{k,l} \qquad \text{for } k'' - k' + l = k. \quad (2.110)$$

Again by induction on t, we obtain

$$\mathcal{A}^r_{k',k'',t,s} \subset U(P(n-1)_{\bar{0}}).f + V^r_{k,l} + W^r_{k,l} \;\text{ for } k''-k'+l=k, s+t=l, t\geq 0. \tag{2.111}$$

Anyway, we get

$$\mathcal{A}^r_{k,l} = U(P(n-1)_{\bar{0}})(f) + (V^r_{k,l} + W^r_{k,l}), \tag{2.112}$$

that is $U(P(n-1)_{\bar{0}})(\bar{f}) = \mathcal{A}^r_{k,l}/(V^r_{k,l} + W^r_{k,l})$.

It can be similarly proved that $(V^r_{k,l} + W^r_{k,l})/V^r_{k,l}$, $V^r_{k,l}/V^r_{k,l} \bigcap W^r_{k,l}$ and $V^r_{k,l} \bigcap W^r_{k,l}$ are irreducible $P(n-1)_{\bar{0}}$-modules. $\square$

Denote

$$V^r_k = \begin{cases} U(P(n-1))(x^k_{r+1}) & \text{if} \quad k>0, \\ U(P(n-1))(x^{-k}_r) & \text{if} \quad k\leq 0. \end{cases} \tag{2.113}$$

Theorem 2.7 *The module $\mathcal{A}^r_k$ has the following composition series:*

$$\mathcal{A}^r_k \supset V^r_k \supset \{0\} \textit{ if } k \neq n-r; \tag{2.114}$$

$$\mathcal{A}^r_k \supset \langle \theta_{r+1}\cdots\theta_n\rangle \supset V^r_k \supset \{0\} \tag{2.115}$$

Proof. Suppose $k \geq 0$. We prove the theorem step by step.

1) V^r_k is the minimal submodule of $\mathcal{A}^r_k$.

Let $0 \neq f(x_1,\cdots,x_n;\theta_1,\cdots,\theta_n) \in \mathcal{A}^r_k$. Applying $E_{i,n+i}\mid_{\mathcal{A}} = x_i\partial_{\theta_i}$ for $r<i\leq n$, and

$$(E_{i,n+j}+E_{j,n+i})\mid_{\mathcal{A}} = \partial_{x_i}\partial_{\theta_j} + x_j\partial_{\theta_i} \;\text{ for } 1\leq i\leq r<j\leq n, \tag{2.116}$$

we can get some $0 \neq f_1(x_1,\cdots,x_n) \in \langle f\rangle$. Using

$$(E_{i,r} - E_{n+r,n+i})(f_1) = -x_r\partial_{x_i}(f_1) \qquad \text{for } i \in \overline{1,r-1} \tag{2.117}$$

and

$$(E_{r+1,j} - E_{n+j,n+r+1})(f_1) = x_{r+1}\partial_{x_j}(f_1) \qquad \text{for } j \in \overline{r+2,n}, \tag{2.118}$$

we get some $0 \neq f_2(x_r,x_{r+1}) \in \langle f\rangle$. Since

$$(E_{r,r+1} - E_{n+r+1,n+r})(f_2) = \partial_{x_r}\partial_{x_{r+1}}(f_2), \tag{2.119}$$

we obtain $x_r^{\alpha_r}x_{r+1}^{\alpha_{r+1}} \in \langle f\rangle$ with $\alpha_{r+1}\alpha_r = 0$ and $\alpha_{r+1}-\alpha_r = k$.

2) $V^r_k = \bigoplus\limits_{l=0}^{n-1} V^r_{k,l}$.

Assume $k \geq n-r>0$. Since

$$x^{k-l}_{r+1}\theta_{n-l+1}\cdots\theta_n = \frac{(k-l)!}{k!}\prod_{j=n-l+1}^{n}(E_{n+j,r+1} - E_{n+r+1,j})(x^k_{r+1}) \in V^r_k \tag{2.120}$$

for $0 < l < n-r$, we get $V_{k,l}^r \subset V_k^r$ for $0 < l < n-r$. Note

$$\begin{aligned}
&(E_{n+r,r+1} - E_{n+r+1,r})(x_{r+1}^{k_n+r+1}\theta_{r+2}\cdots\theta_n) \\
= \;& (k-n+r+1)\theta_r x_{r+1}^{k-n+r}\theta_{r+2}\cdots\theta_n + x_r x_{r+1}^{k-n+r+1}\theta_{r+1}\cdots\theta_n \in V_k^r
\end{aligned} \tag{2.121}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&(k-l+1)x_{r+1}^{k-l}\theta_{n-l}\cdots\theta_r\theta_{r+2}\cdots\theta_n \\
&+\sum_{p=n-l}^{r}(-1)^{p-r}x_p\theta_{n-l}\cdots\hat{\theta}_p\cdots\theta_r x_{r+1}^{k-l+1}\theta_{r+1}\cdots\theta_n \\
= \;& \frac{1}{k-l+2}(E_{2n-l,r+1} - E_{n+r+1,n-l})\big((k-l+2)x_{r+1}^{k-l+1}\theta_{n-l+1}\cdots\theta_r\theta_{r+2}\cdots\theta_n \\
&+\sum_{p=n-l+1}^{r}(-1)^{p-r}x_p\theta_{n-l+1}\cdots\hat{\theta}_p\cdots\theta_r x_{r+1}^{k-l+2}\theta_{r+1}\cdots\theta_n\big).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.122}$$

Thus we have $V_{k,l}^r \subset V_k^r$ for $n-r \leq l \leq k+1$ such that $l \neq \frac{1}{2}(k+n-r)$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\prod_{j=n-l}^{n-k-2}(E_{n+r,j} - E_{n+j,r})\big(\sum_{p=n-k-1}^{r}(-1)^{p-r}x_p\theta_{n-k-1}\cdots\hat{\theta}_p\cdots\theta_r\theta_{r+1}\cdots\theta_n\big) \\
= \;& \sum_{p=n-l}^{r}(-1)^{p-r}x_p\theta_{n-l}\cdots\hat{\theta}_p\cdots\theta_r\theta_{r+1}\cdots\theta_n,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.123}$$

which implies $V_{k,l}^r \subset V_k^r$ for $k+1 < l < n$.

When $k+n-r$ is even, we set $l' = \frac{1}{2}(k+n-r)$. Since $V_{k,l'+1}^r \subset V_k^r$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&(k-l')x_{r+1}^{k-l'-1}\theta_{n-l'-1}\cdots\theta_r\theta_{r+2}\cdots\theta_n \\
&+\sum_{p=n-l'-1}^{r}(-1)^{p-r}x_p\theta_{n-l'-1}\cdots\hat{\theta}_p\cdots\theta_r x_{r+1}^{k-l'}\theta_{r+1}\cdots\theta_n \in V_k^r.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.124}$$

Note

$$\begin{aligned}
&E_{r+1,n+r+1}\big[(k-l')x_{r+1}^{k-l'-1}\theta_{n-l'-1}\cdots\theta_r\theta_{r+2}\cdots\theta_n \\
&+\sum_{p=n-l'-1}^{r}(-1)^{p-r}x_p\theta_{n-l'-1}\cdots\hat{\theta}_p\cdots\theta_r x_{r+1}^{k-l'}\theta_{r+1}\cdots\theta_n\big] \\
= \;& (-1)^{l'-n+r}\sum_{p=n-l'-1}^{r}(-1)^{p-r}x_p\theta_{n-l'-1}\cdots\hat{\theta}_p\cdots\theta_r x_{r+1}^{k-l'}\theta_{r+2}\cdots\theta_n.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.125}$$

Thus $V_{k,l'}^r \subset V_k^r$ by Table 1 and (2.113).

It can be verified that $P(n-1)\big[\sum_{l=0}^{n-1}V_{k,l}^r\big] \subset \sum_{l=0}^{n-1}V_{k,l}^r$. Therefore, $V_k^r = \bigoplus_{l=0}^{n-1}V_{k,l}^r$.

It can be similarly proved when $k < n-r$.

3) $\mathcal{A}_k^r/V_k^r$ *is irreducible when* $k \neq n-r$.

Again we assume $k > n - r$. The proof for $k < n - r$ is quite similar. Let $0 \neq f \in \mathcal{A}_k^r \setminus V_k^r$. We can write

$$f = \sum_{k'+k''=k, t\in\overline{0,r}, s\in\overline{0,n-r}} f_{k',k'',s,t}, \tag{2.126}$$

where $f_{k',k'',s,t} \in \mathcal{A}^r_{k',k'',s,t}$ (cf. (2.84) and (2.85)) and only finite $f_{k',k'',s,t} \neq 0$. Since $\mathcal{A}^r_{k',k'',s,t}$ are all finite dimensional $(L_1 + L_2)$-modules, $U(L_1 + L_2)(f)$ is finite dimensional. Thus there should be a weight vector $f' \in U(L_1 + L_2)(f) \subset \langle f \rangle$ such that $L_1^+(f') = 0$ and $L_2^+(f') = 0$. Up to a scalar multiple, f' should be in form of

$$x_r^{t-k'}\theta_{r-t+1}\cdots\theta_r x_{r+1}^{k''-s-1}\xi_r\theta_{n-s+2}\cdots\theta_n \tag{2.127}$$

or

$$x_r^{t-k'}\theta_{r-t+1}\cdots\theta_r x_{r+1}^{k''-s}\theta_{n-s+1}\cdots\theta_n \tag{2.128}$$

for some $t \in \overline{0,r}$, $s \in \overline{0, n-r-1}$ and $k', k'' \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $k' + k'' = k$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} & E_{r+1,n+r+1}(x_r^{t-k'}\theta_{r-t+1}\cdots\theta_r x_{r+1}^{k''-s-1}\xi_r\theta_{n-s+2}\cdots\theta_n) \\ = \; & (-1)^t x_r^{t-k'}\theta_{r-t+1}\cdots\theta_r x_{r+1}^{k''-s}\theta_{n-s+1}\cdots\theta_n, \end{aligned} \tag{2.129}$$

we can assume $f' = x_r^{t-k'}\theta_{r-t+1}\cdots\theta_r x_{r+1}^{k''-s}\theta_{n-s+1}\cdots\theta_n$. Let $l_0 = s + t$. We divide our argument into three subcases.

(a) If $n - r \leq l_0 \leq k$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} g &= x_{r+1}^{k-l_0}\theta_{n-l_0+1}\cdots\theta_n \\ &\equiv \frac{(k-l_0)!}{(t-k')!(k''-s)!}(E_{r,r+1} - E_{n+r+1,n+r})^{t-k'}\prod_{i=1}^{n-s-r}(-1)^t(E_{r-t+i,r+i} \\ &\quad -E_{n+r+i,n+r-t+i})(f') \pmod{V_k^r} \end{aligned} \tag{2.130}$$

(cf. (2.97)). Note

$$\prod_{i=n-l+1}^{n-l_0}(E_{n+i,r+1} - E_{n+r+1,i})(g) = \frac{(k-l_0)!}{(k-l)!}x_{r+1}^{k-l}\theta_{n-l+1}\cdots\theta_n \in \langle f \rangle \tag{2.131}$$

for $l_0 < l \leq k$. Moreover,

$$\prod_{i=n-l+1}^{n-k}(E_{n+r,i} - E_{n+i,r})(\theta_{n-k+1}\cdots\theta_n)) = x_r^{l-k}\theta_{n-l+1}\cdots\theta_n \in \langle f \rangle \tag{2.132}$$

for $k < l \leq n$. Furthermore,

$$\prod_{i=l}^{l_0}(E_{r+1,2n-i+1} + E_{n-i+1,n+r+1})(g) = x_{r+1}^{k-l}\theta_{n-l+1}\cdots\theta_n \in \langle f \rangle \tag{2.133}$$

for $n - r \leq l < l_0$. Thus we have

$$x_{r+1}\xi_r\theta_{n-l+2}\cdots\theta_n \in \langle x_{r+1}^{k-n+r}\theta_{r+1}\cdots\theta_n \rangle \qquad \text{for } l < n-r \tag{2.134}$$

by Theorem 2.3. Therefore, $W^r_{k,l} \subset \langle f \rangle$ for all $0 \le l \le n$.

(b) If $l_0 \ge k$, then let

$$\begin{aligned} g &= x_r^{l_0-k}\theta_{n-l_0+1}\cdots\theta_n \\ &= \frac{(l_0-k)!}{(t-k')!(k''-s)!}(E_{r,r+1}-E_{n+r+1,n+r})^{k''-s}\prod_{i=1}^{n-s-r}(-1)^t(E_{r-t+i,r+i} \\ &\quad -E_{n+r+i,n+r-t+i})(f'). \end{aligned} \tag{2.135}$$

We have

$$\prod_{i=l}^{l_0}(E_{r,2n-i+1}+E_{n-i+1,n+r})(g) = \frac{(l-l_0)!}{(l-k)!}x_r^{l-k}\theta_{n-l+1}\cdots\theta_n \in \langle f \rangle \tag{2.136}$$

for $k \le l < l_0$. By (2.136) and (a) with g replaced by $\theta_{n-k+1}\cdots\theta_n$, we get $W^r_{k,l} \subset \langle f \rangle$ for all $0 \le l \le n$.

(c) If $l_0 < n-r$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & x_{r+1}^{k-l_0}\theta_{r+1}\theta_{n-l_0+2}\cdots\theta_n \\ = & -\frac{(k-l_0)!}{(t-k'+1)!(k''-s)!}(E_{r,r+1}-E_{n+r+1,n+r})^{t-k'+1}\prod_{i=1}^{t-1}(-1)^t(E_{r-t+i,n-l_0+1+i} \\ & -E_{2n-l_0+1+i,n+r-t+i})(f') \\ \equiv & \frac{k-l_0}{k+n-l_0}x_{r+1}^{k-l_0-1}\xi_r\theta_{n-l_0+2}\cdots\theta_n \pmod{V^r_k} \end{aligned} \tag{2.137}$$

(cf (2.21). Thus

$$g = x_{r+1}^{k-l_0-1}\xi_r\theta_{n-l_0+2}\cdots\theta_n \in \langle f \rangle. \tag{2.138}$$

Consequently, $x_{r+1}^{k-l-l}\xi_r\theta_{n-l+2}\cdots\theta_n \in \langle f \rangle$ for all $l < n-r$ by Theorem 2.3. Thus we obtain $W^r_{k,l} \subset \langle f \rangle$ for $l \in \overline{0,n}$ by (a) with g replaced by $x_{r+1}^{k-n+r}\theta_{r+1}\cdots\theta_n$.

Denote

$$W^r_k = \bigoplus_{l=0}^{n} W^r_{k,l}. \tag{2.139}$$

Note that $\langle f \rangle \supset W^r_k + V^r_k = \mathcal{A}^r_k$ if $k+n-r$ is odd. When $k+n-r$ is even, let $l' = \frac{1}{2}(k+n-r)$. Observe that $n-r < l' < k$ and

$$f' = x_{r+1}^{k-l'-1}\theta_{n-l'}\cdots\theta_n \in W^r_{k,l'+1} \subset \langle f \rangle.$$

Since

$$E_{r+1,n+r+1}(f') = (-1)^{r-n+l'+1}x_{r+1}^{k-l'}\theta_{n-l'}\cdots\theta_r\theta_{r+2}\cdots\theta_n, \tag{2.140}$$

we obtain $\mathcal{A}^r_{k,l'} \subset \langle f \rangle$, which implies $\mathcal{A}^r_k \subset \langle f \rangle$.

4) $k = n-r$.

Note

$$(E_{i,j} - E_{n+j,n+i})(\theta_{r+1}\cdots\theta_n) = 0 \tag{2.141}$$

if $i, j \in \overline{1, r}$ or $i, j \in \overline{r+1, n}$ or $i \in \overline{1, r}$ and $j \in \overline{r+1, n}$. When $i \in \overline{r+1, n}$ and $j \in \overline{1, r}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& (E_{i,j} - E_{n+j,n+i})(\theta_{r+1} \cdots \theta_n) \\
= \; & -x_i x_j \theta_{r+1} \cdots \theta_n + (-1)^{i-r} \theta_j \theta_{r+1} \cdots \hat{\theta}_i \cdots \theta_n \\
= \; & (E_{r,j} - E_{n+j,n+r})(E_{i,r+1} - E_{n+r+1,n+i})(E_{r-1,r+1} - E_{n+r+1,n+r-1}) \\
& \big((x_{r_1}\theta_r - x_r\theta_{r-1}) x_{r+1} \theta_{r+2} \cdots \theta_n\big) \in V^r_{n-r}. \qquad (2.142)
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $P(n-1)_{\bar{0}}(\theta_{r+1} \cdots \theta_n) \subset V^r_{n-r}$. Since

$$(E_{i,n+j} + E_{j,n+i})(\theta_{r+1} \cdots \theta_n) = 0 \qquad (2.143)$$

and

$$(E_{n+i,j} - E_{n+j,i})(\theta_{r+1} \cdots \theta_n) = (x_i\theta_j - x_j\theta_i)\theta_{r+1} \cdots \theta_n \in V^r_{n-r,n-r+1}, \qquad (2.144)$$

we obtain $P(n-1)_{\bar{1}}(\theta_{r+1} \cdots \theta_n) \subset V^r_{n-r}$, that is, $\langle \theta_{r+1} \cdots \theta_n) \rangle / V^r_{n-r} = \mathbb{C}\theta_{r+1} \cdots \theta_n$. By the similar arguments as in 3), we get that $\mathcal{A}^r_{n-r}/\langle \theta_{r+1} \cdots \theta_n \rangle$ is irreducible. $\square$

3 Proof of Theorem 2

In this section, we investigate the polynomial representation of the Lie superalgebra $\tilde{Q}(n-1)$ $(n \geq 3)$.

Recall

$$\tilde{Q}(n-1)_{\bar{0}} = \sum_{1 \leq i,j \leq n} \mathbb{C}(E_{i,j} + E_{n+i,n+j}), \; \tilde{Q}(n-1)^+_{\bar{0}} = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \mathbb{C}(E_{i,j} + E_{n+i,n+j}), \quad (3.1)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{Q}(n-1)_{\bar{1}} \; = \; & \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathbb{C}(E_{i,n+i} + E_{n+i,i} - E_{i+1,n+i+1} - E_{n+i+1,i+1}) \\
& + \sum_{i,j=1, i \neq j}^{n} \mathbb{C}(E_{i,n+j} + E_{n+i,j}) \qquad (3.2)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}^r_{k,t} = \mathrm{Span}\{x^\alpha \theta_{i_1} \cdots \theta_{i_t} \mid i_1, \cdots, i_t \in \overline{1, n}; \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n, \; -\sum_{i=1}^{r} \alpha_i + \sum_{j=r+1}^{n} \alpha_j = k - t\}. \quad (3.3)$$

Note

$$H = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{C}E_{i,i} \qquad (3.4)$$

forms a Cartan subalgebra of $\tilde{Q}(n-1)$. We study the representation case by case.

Case 1, $r = 0$.

Set

$$v_t = \sum_{i=1}^{t}(-1)^{i-1}x_i\theta_1\cdots\hat{\theta}_i\cdots\theta_t. \tag{3.5}$$

Lemma 3.1 *The subspace*

$$\mathcal{A}^r_{k;t} = U(Q(n-1)_{\bar{0}})(x_1^{k-t}\theta_1\cdots\theta_t)\oplus U(Q(n-1)_{\bar{0}})(x_1^{k-t-1}v_{t+1}) \tag{3.6}$$

as $Q(n-1)_{\bar{0}}$-submodules. □

Theorem 3.2 *The subspace $\mathcal{A}^0_k$ has only two nonzero proper submodules $\langle x_1^k+\sqrt{k}x_1^{k-1}\theta_1\rangle$ and $\langle x_1^k-\sqrt{k}x_1^{k-1}\theta_1\rangle$. Moreover, $\langle x_1^k\pm\sqrt{k}x_1^{k-1}\theta_1\rangle$ have a basis*

$$\begin{aligned}&\{(k-t)x^{\alpha}\theta_{i_1}\cdots\theta_{i_t}+\sum_{p=1}^{t}\sum_{s=1}^{n}(-1)^p\alpha_s x^{\alpha+\epsilon_{i_p}-\epsilon_s}\theta_s\theta_{i_1}\cdots\hat{\theta_{i_p}}\cdots\theta_{i_t}\\ &\pm\sqrt{k}\sum_{s=1}^{n}\alpha_s x^{\alpha-\epsilon_s}\theta_{i_1}\cdots\theta_{i_t}\theta_s \mid \alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n,\ |\alpha|=k-t;\ 0\le t<min\{k,n\};\\ &i_1,\cdots,i_t\in\overline{1,n},\ \alpha_j>0 \textit{ for some } j>i_1,\cdots,i_t\}\end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Proof. *(1) For any $0\neq f\in\mathcal{A}^0_k$, we claim that*

$$x_1^k+\sqrt{k}x_1^{k-1}\theta_1\in\langle f\rangle \quad or \quad x_1^k-\sqrt{k}x_1^{k-1}\theta_1\in\langle f\rangle. \tag{3.8}$$

Write $f=\sum_{t=0}^{k}(f_t+g_t)$ with

$$f_t\in U(Q(n-1)_{\bar{0}})(x_1^{k-t}\theta_1\cdots\theta_t),\quad g_t\in U(Q(n-1)_{\bar{0}})(x_1^{k-t}v_t). \tag{3.9}$$

Applying $\tilde{Q}(n-1)^+_{\bar{0}}$ to f, we can assume

$$f=\sum_{t=0}^{k}(a_tx_1^{k-t}\theta_1\cdots\theta_t+b_tx_1^{k-t}v_t),\qquad a_t,b_t\in\mathbb{C}. \tag{3.10}$$

Since $a_tx_1^{k-t}\theta_1\cdots\theta_t+b_tx_1^{k-t}v_t$ and $a_{t'}x_1^{k-t'}\theta_1\cdots\theta_{t'}+b_{t'}x_1^{k-t'}v_{t'}$ are in different weight subspaces if $t\neq t'$, we have $a_tx_1^{k-t}\theta_1\cdots\theta_t+b_tx_1^{k-t}v_t\in\langle f\rangle$. Denote

$$t_0=\min\{t\in\overline{0,k}\mid(a_t,b_t)\neq(0,0)\}. \tag{3.11}$$

Observe

$$\begin{aligned}&(E_{1,n+2}+E_{n+1,2})\cdots(E_{1,n+t_0}+E_{n+1,t_0})(a_{t_0}x_1^{k-t_0}\theta_1\cdots\theta_{t_0}+b_{t_0}x_1^{k-t_0}v_{t_0})\\ =\ &(-1)^{\frac{t_0(t_0-1)}{2}}a_{t_0}x_1^{k-1}\theta_1+(-1)^{\frac{(t_0-1)(t_0-2)}{2}}b_{t_0}x_1^k\in\langle f\rangle.\end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

If $a_{t_0}\neq 0$ and $b_{t_0}=0$, we have $x_1^{k-1}\theta_1\in\langle f\rangle$ and

$$x_1^k=(E_{1,n+1}+E_{n+1,1}-E_{2,n+2}-E_{n+2,2})(x_1^{k-1}\theta_1)\in\langle f\rangle. \tag{3.13}$$

When $a_{t_0}=0$ and $b_{t_0}\neq 0$, we get $x_1^k\in\langle f\rangle$ and

$$x_1^k\theta_1=\frac{1}{k}(E_{1,n+1}+E_{n+1,1}-E_{2,n+2}-E_{n+2,2})(x_1^k)\in\langle f\rangle. \tag{3.14}$$

Thus, under the above assumptions, (3.8) holds. In the case $a_{t_0}\neq 0$ and $b_{t_0}\neq 0$, we have $a'x_1^{k_1}\theta_1+b'x_1^k\in\langle f\rangle$ with $a'=(-1)^{\frac{t_0(t_0-1)}{2}}a_{t_0}\neq 0$ and $b'=(-1)^{\frac{(t_0-1)(t_0-2)}{2}}b_{t_0}\neq 0$. Since

$$(E_{1,n+1}+E_{n+1,1}-E_{2,n+2}-E_{n+2,2})(a'x_1^{k-1}\theta_1+b'x_1^k)=kb'x_1^{k-1}\theta_1+a'x_1^k\in\langle f\rangle, \tag{3.15}$$

we obtain $x_1^k, x_1^{k-1}\theta_1\in\langle f\rangle$ if $\frac{a'}{kb'}\neq\frac{b'}{a'}$, which implies (3.8). When $\frac{a'}{kb'}=\frac{b'}{a'}$, we have $a'/b'=\pm\sqrt{k}$, and so (3.8) holds.

(2) The set (3.7) is a subset of $\langle x_1^k\pm\sqrt{k}x_k^{k-1}\theta_1\rangle$.

Denote

$$\begin{aligned} h(\alpha;i_1,\cdots,i_t)= &\ (k-t)x^\alpha\theta_{i_1}\cdots\theta_{i_t}+\sum_{p=1}^{t}\sum_{s=1}^{n}(-1)^p\alpha_s x^{\alpha+\epsilon_{i_p}-\epsilon_s}\theta_s\theta_{i_1}\cdots\hat{\theta_{i_l}}\cdots\theta_{i_t}\\ &+\sqrt{k}\sum_{s=1}^{n}\alpha_s x^{\alpha-\epsilon_{i_1}-\cdots-\epsilon_{i_t}-\epsilon_s}\theta_{i_1}\cdots\theta_{i_t}\theta_s,\end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

where $t\in\overline{0,n-1}$. We write $h(\alpha)$ instead of $h(\alpha;i_1\cdots,i_t)$ when $t=0$.

Since

$$h(\alpha)=kx^\alpha+\sqrt{k}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\alpha_i x^{\alpha-\epsilon_i}\theta_i=\frac{\alpha_1!}{(k-1)!}\prod_{i=2}^{n}(E_{i,1}+E_{n+i,n+1})^{\alpha_i}(x_1^k+\sqrt{k}x_1^{k-1}\theta_1) \tag{3.17}$$

we have

$$h(\alpha)\in\langle x_1^k+\sqrt{k}x_1^{k-1}\theta_1\rangle\qquad\text{for }\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n\text{ such that }|\alpha|=k. \tag{3.18}$$

Now we assume

$$h(\alpha;i_1,\cdots,i_r)\in\langle x_1^k+\sqrt{k}x_1^{k-1}\theta_1\rangle \tag{3.19}$$

for $r<t$, $\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n$ with $|\alpha|=k-r$, and $i_1,\cdots,i_r\in\overline{1,n}$. Since $t<n$, we can take $\overline{1,n}\ni j\neq i_1,\cdots,i_t$.

$$\begin{aligned} &h(\alpha;i_1,\cdots,i_t)\\ =\ &\frac{1}{\alpha_j+1}(E_{i_1,n+j}+E_{n+i_1,j})[h(\alpha-\epsilon_{i_1}+\epsilon_j;i_2,\cdots,i_t)]\\ &+\frac{1}{k}\sum_{l=2}^{t}(-1)^l h(\alpha;i_2,\cdots,\hat{i_l},\cdots,i_t)+\frac{(-1)^t}{\sqrt{k}}h(\alpha;i_2,\cdots,i_t).\end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

So $h(\alpha;i_1,\cdots,i_t)\in\langle x_1^k+\sqrt{k}x_1^{k-1}\theta_1\rangle$. By induction on t, the conclusion holds.

(3) The set (3.7) forms a basis $\langle x_1^k\pm\sqrt{k}x_1^{k-1}\theta_1\rangle$.

Denote by V the subspace spanned by (3.7). Since

$$h(\alpha;i_1,\cdots,i_t)=\frac{(-1)^t}{\alpha_{i_t}+1}\sum_{s\neq i_1,\cdots,i_t}\alpha_s h(\alpha+\epsilon_{i_t}-\epsilon_s;s,i_1,\cdots,i_{t-1}) \tag{3.21}$$

if $i_t = \max\{j \in \overline{1,n} \mid \alpha_j > 0\}$, we obtain

$$V = \mathrm{Span}\{h(\alpha; i_1, \cdots, i_t) \mid 0 \leq t < k, n; i_1, \cdots, i_t \in \overline{1,n}; \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^n,\ |\alpha| = k - t\} \tag{3.22}$$

For $j \notin \{i_1, \cdots, i_t\}$, we have

$$(E_{i,j} + E_{n+i,n+j})[h(\alpha; i_1, \cdots, i_t)] = \alpha_j h(\alpha + \epsilon_i - \epsilon_j; i_1, \cdots, i_t). \tag{3.23}$$

For $i \notin \{i_1, \cdots, i_t\}$ and $j \in \{i_1, \cdots, i_t\}$, we can assume $j = i_1$ and get

$$\begin{aligned} & (E_{i,j} + E_{n+i,n+j})[h(\alpha; i_1, \cdots, i_t)] \\ = \ & h(\alpha + \epsilon_i - \epsilon_{i_1}; i, i_2, \cdots, i_t) + \alpha_{i_1} h(\alpha + \epsilon_i - \epsilon_{i_1}; i_1, \cdots, i_t). \end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

When $i, j \in \{i_1, \cdots, i_t\}$, we may assume $j = i_1, i = i_2$ and have

$$(E_{i,j} + E_{n+i,n+j})[h(\alpha; i_1, \cdots, i_t)] = \alpha_{i_1} h(\alpha - \epsilon_{i_1} + \epsilon_{i_2}; i_1, \cdots, i_t). \tag{3.25}$$

Therefore, $(E_{i,j} + E_{n+i,n+j})(V) \subset V$ for any $i, j \in \overline{1,n}$. Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & (E_{i,n+j} + E_{n+i,j})[h(\alpha; i_1, \cdots, i_t)] \\ = \ & \alpha_j \big(h(\alpha - \epsilon_j + \epsilon_i; i, i_1, \cdots, i_t) \\ & + \frac{1}{k} \sum_{l=1}^{t} (-1)^l h(\alpha - \epsilon_j + \epsilon_i; i_1, \cdots, \hat{i}_l, \cdots, i_t) \\ & + \frac{(-1)^t}{\sqrt{k}} h(\alpha - \epsilon_j + \epsilon_i; i_1, \cdots, i_t) \big) \end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

if $j \notin \{i_1, \cdots, i_t\}$. When $j \in \{i_1, \cdots, i_t\}$, we may assume $j = i_1$ and have

$$\begin{aligned} & (E_{i,n+i_1} + E_{n+i,i_1})[h(\alpha; i_1, \cdots, i_t)] \\ = \ & -(E_{i,n+i_1} + E_{n+i,i_1}) \big[\sum_{s \neq i_1, \cdots, i_t} h(\alpha; s, i_2, \cdots, i_t) \big]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.27}$$

Thus the subspace V is a submodule of $\langle x_1^k + \sqrt{k} x_1^{k-1} \theta_1 \rangle$, which implies $V = \langle x_1^k + \sqrt{k} x_1^{k-1} \theta_1 \rangle$.

Using Lemma 2.1, we get the linear independence of (3.7). $\square$

Case 2. $1 \leq r < n$.

In this case, we have:

Theorem 3.3 *The submodule $\mathcal{A}_k^r$ is irreducible if $0 < r < n$.*

Proof. *(1) First we claim that*

$$\langle x_r^{r+i_0} \theta_1 \cdots \theta_r x_{r+1}^{k+i_0} \rangle = \mathcal{A}_k^r \qquad \text{for all } i_0 \geq -k, -r. \tag{3.28}$$

Since

$$(E_{r,r+1}+E_{n+r,n+r+1})|_{\mathcal{A}^r}=\partial_{x_r}\partial_{x_{r+1}}, \tag{3.29}$$

we get

$$x_r^{r+i}\theta_1\cdots\theta_r x_{r+1}^{k+i}\in\langle x_r^{r+i_0}\theta_1\cdots\theta_r x_{r+1}^{k+i_0}\rangle \qquad \text{for } i\leq i_0. \tag{3.30}$$

By Theorem 3.2, we derive

$$x^\alpha\theta_{i_1}\cdots\theta_{i_t}\theta_{j_1}\cdots\theta_{j_s}\in\langle x_r^{r+i}\theta_1\cdots\theta_r x_{r+1}^{k+i}\rangle \tag{3.31}$$

for $0\leq t\leq r$, $0\leq s\leq n-r$, and $\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n$ such that $\sum_{p=r+1}^{n}\alpha_p+s=k+i$ and $t-\sum_{q=1}^{r}\alpha_q=-i$. Hence

$$x^\alpha\theta_{i_1}\cdots\theta_{i_t}\theta_{j_1}\cdots\theta_{j_s}\in\langle x_r^{r+i_0}\theta_1\cdots\theta_r x_{r+1}^{k+i_0}\rangle. \tag{3.32}$$

Note

$$\begin{aligned}&(E_{r+1,r}+E_{n+r+1,n+r})(x_r^{r+j}\theta_1\cdots\theta_r x_{r+1}^{k+j})\\ =\quad&-x_r^{r+j+1}\theta_1\cdots\theta_r x_{r+1}^{k+j+1}+x_r^{r+j}\theta_1\cdots\theta_{r-1}x_{r+1}^{k+j}\theta_{r+1}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.33}$$

So we get

$$x_r^{r+j}\theta_1\cdots\theta_r x_{r+1}^{k+j}\in\langle x_r^{r+i_0}\theta_1\cdots\theta_r x_{r+1}^{k+i_0}\rangle \qquad \text{for } j>i_0, \tag{3.34}$$

which implies

$$x^\alpha\theta_{i_1}\cdots\theta_{i_t}\theta_{j_1}\cdots\theta_{j_s}\in\langle x_r^{r+i_0}\theta_1\cdots\theta_r x_{r+1}^{k+i_0}\rangle \tag{3.35}$$

for all $s,t\in\overline{0,r}$ and $\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n$ such that $\sum_{p=r+1}^{n}\alpha_p-\sum_{q=1}^{r}\alpha_q=k-s-t$, that is, $\langle x_r^{r+i_0}\theta_1\cdots\theta_r x_{r+1}^{k+i_0}\rangle=\mathcal{A}_k^r$.

(2) Next for any $0\neq f\in\mathcal{A}_k^r$, we have

$$x_r^{r+i}\theta_1\cdots\theta_r x_{r+1}^{k+i}\in\langle f\rangle \qquad \textit{for some } i\geq -k,-r. \tag{3.36}$$

In fact, we can rewrite $f=\sum_i g_ih_i$, with

$$\begin{aligned}g_i\in\text{Span}\,\{x^\beta\theta_{j_1}\cdots\theta_{j_s}\mid 0\leq s\leq n-r;\beta\in\mathbb{N}^n,\ |\beta|=k+i-s,\\ \beta_1=\cdots=\beta_r=0;j_1,\cdots,j_s\in\overline{r+1,n}\},\end{aligned} \tag{3.37}$$

$$\begin{aligned}h_i\in\text{Span}\,\{x^\alpha\theta_{i_1}\cdots\theta_{i_t}\mid 0\leq t\leq r;\alpha\in\mathbb{N}^n,\ |\alpha|=i+t,\\ \alpha_{r+1}=\cdots=\alpha_n=0;i_1\cdots,i_t\in\overline{1,r}\}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.38}$$

Applying $E_{p,q}+E_{n+p,n+q}$ to f, we may assume

$$(E_{p,q}+E_{n+p,n+q})(g_i)=0 \qquad \text{for } r<p<q\leq n, \tag{3.39}$$

$$(E_{p,q}+E_{n+p,n+q})(h_i)=0 \qquad \text{for } 0<p<q\leq r. \tag{3.40}$$

Therefore, there should be some

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= a(x_r^{t+i}\theta_1\cdots\theta_t)(x_{r+1}^{k+i-s}\sum_{p=1}^{s}(-1)^p x_{r+p}\theta_{r+1}\cdots\hat{\theta}_{r+p}\cdots\theta_{r+s}) \\ &\quad +b(x_r^{t+i}\theta_1\cdots\theta_t\xi_r')(x_{r+1}^{k+i-s}\sum_{p=1}^{s}(-1)^p x_{r+p}\theta_{r+1}\cdots\hat{\theta}_{r+p}\cdots\theta_{r+s}) \\ &\quad +c(x_r^{t+i}\theta_1\cdots\theta_t)(x_{r+1}^{k+i-s}\theta_{r+1}\cdots\theta_{r+s}) \\ &\quad +d(x_r^{t+i}\theta_1\cdots\theta_t\xi_r')(x_{r+1}^{k+i-s}\theta_{r+1}\cdots\theta_{r+s})\in\langle f\rangle \end{aligned} \tag{3.41}$$

for some $i,t,s\in\overline{1,n}$ and $a,b,c,d\in\mathbb{C}$, where $\xi_r'=\sum\limits_{j=1}^{r}x_j\theta_j$. Note that if $t<r-1$, then

$$0\neq\prod_{p=t+1}^{r-1}(-1)^p(E_{n+p,r}+E_{p,n+r})(f_1)\in\langle f\rangle. \tag{3.42}$$

So we can assume $t=r-1$. If $s>1$, we have

$$0\neq\prod_{p=2}^{s}(E_{r+1,n+r+p}+E_{n+r+1,r+p})(f_1)\in\langle f\rangle. \tag{3.43}$$

Thus we can assume $s=1$. Under the assumptions,

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= ax_r^{r+i-1}\theta_1\cdots\theta_{r-1}x_{r+1}^{k+i}+bx_r^{r+i}\theta_1\cdots\theta_r x_{r+1}^{k+i} \\ &\quad +c(x_r^{r+i-1}\theta_1\cdots\theta_{r-1})(x_{r+1}^{k+i-1}\theta_{r+1})+d(x_r^{r+i}\theta_1\cdots\theta_r)(x_{r+1}^{k+i-1}\theta_{r+1}) \end{aligned} \tag{3.44}$$

and

$$(E_{p,n+p}+E_{n+p,p})(f_1)=0 \qquad \text{for } p\in\overline{1,n},p\neq r,r+1. \tag{3.45}$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} f_2 &= (-1)^{r-1}(E_{r+1,n+r+1}+E_{n+r+1,r+1}-E_{p,n+p}-E_{n+p,p})(f_1) \\ &= cx_r^{r+i-1}\theta_1\cdots\theta_{r-1}x_{r+1}^{k+i}-dx_r^{r+i}\theta_1\cdots\theta_r x_{r+1}^{k+i} \\ &\quad +a(k+i)(x_r^{r+i-1}\theta_1\cdots\theta_{r-1})(x_{r+1}^{k+i-1}\theta_{r+1}) \\ &\quad -b(k+i)(x_r^{r+i}\theta_1\cdots\theta_r)(x_{r+1}^{k+i-1}\theta_{r+1}), \end{aligned} \tag{3.46}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_3 &= (-1)^{r-1}(E_{r,n+r}+E_{n+r,r}-E_{p,n+p}-E_{n+p,p})(f_1) \\ &= b(r+i)x_r^{r+i-1}\theta_1\cdots\theta_{r-1}x_{r+1}^{k+i}-ax_r^{r+i}\theta_1\cdots\theta_r x_{r+1}^{k+i} \\ &\quad +d(r+i)(x_r^{r+i-1}\theta_1\cdots\theta_{r-1})(x_{r+1}^{k+i-1}\theta_{r+1}) \\ &\quad -c(x_r^{r+i}\theta_1\cdots\theta_r)(x_{r+1}^{k+i-1}\theta_{r+1}), \end{aligned} \tag{3.47}$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_4 &= (-1)^{r-1}(E_{r+1,n+r+1}+E_{n+r+1,r+1}-E_{p,n+p}-E_{n+p,p})(f_3) \\ &= d(r+i)x_r^{r+i-1}\theta_1\cdots\theta_{r-1}x_{r+1}^{k+i}+cx_r^{r+i}\theta_1\cdots\theta_r x_{r+1}^{k+i} \\ &\quad +b(r+i)(k+i)(x_r^{r+i-1}\theta_1\cdots\theta_{r-1})(x_{r+1}^{k+i-1}\theta_{r+1}) \\ &\quad +a(k+i)(x_r^{r+i}\theta_1\cdots\theta_r)(x_{r+1}^{k+i-1}\theta_{r+1}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.48}$$

We can assume

$$\big(c^2 - a^2(k+i)\big) + (r+i)\big(d^2 - b^2(k+i)\big) \neq 0 \tag{3.49}$$

because we can take

$$\begin{aligned} & -(E_{r+1,r} + E_{n+r+1,n+r})(f_1) \\ = \;& a x_r^{r+i}\theta_1 \cdots \theta_{r-1} x_{r+1}^{k+i+1} + b x_r^{r+i+1}\theta_1 \cdots \theta_r x_{r+1}^{k+i+1} \\ & +(c-b)(x_r^{r+i}\theta_1 \cdots \theta_{r-1})(x_{r+1}^{k+i}\theta_{r+1}) + d(x_r^{r+i+1}\theta_1 \cdots \theta_r)(x_{r+1}^{k+i}\theta_{r+1}) \end{aligned} \tag{3.50}$$

instead of f_1 if (3.49) does not hold.

By (3.41) and (3.46)-3.48), $f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4 \in \langle f\rangle$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} f_5 &= c f_1 - a f_2 + d f_3 - b f_4 \\ &= \big(c^2 - a^2(k+i) + d^2(r+i) - b^2(k+i)(r+i)\big) \\ &\quad \times (x_r^{r+i-1}\theta_1 \cdots \theta_{r-1})(x_{r+1}^{k+i-1}\theta_{r+1}) \in \langle f\rangle. \end{aligned} \tag{3.51}$$

Thus $(x_r^{r+i-1}\theta_1 \cdots \theta_r)(x_{r+1}^{k+i-1}\theta_{r+1}) \in \langle f\rangle$. Note

$$\begin{aligned} & x_r^{r+i}\theta_1 \cdots \theta_{r-1} x_{r+1}^{k+i} \\ = \;& (E_{r,n+r} + E_{n+r,r} - E_{p,n+p} - E_{n+p,p})(E_{r+1,n+r+1} + E_{n+r+1,r+1} \\ & - E_{p,n+p} - E_{n+p,p})(x_r^{r+i-1}\theta_1 \cdots \theta_{r-1})(x_{r+1}^{k+i-1}\theta_{r+1}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.52}$$

So $x_r^{r+i}\theta_1 \cdots \theta_r x_{r+1}^{k+i} \in \langle f\rangle$. This completes the proof of the theorem. □

Acknowledgement

I would like to thank Professor Xiaoping Xu for his advice and suggesting this research topic.

References

[1] J. Brundan, Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials and character formulae for the Lie superalgebra $q(n)$, *Adv. Math.* **182** (2004), 28-77.

[2] L. Frappat, A. Sciarrino and P. Sorba, Dynkin-like diagrams and representation of the strange superalgebra $P(n)$, *J. Math. Phys.* **32** (1991), 3268-3277.

[3] L. Frappat, A. Sciarrino and P. Sorba, Oscillator realization of the strange superalgebras $P(n)$ and $Q(n)$, *J. Math. Phys.* **33** (1992), 3911

[4] L. Frappat, A. Sciarrino and P. Sorba, *Dictionary on Lie Algebras and Superalgebras*, Academic Press, 2000

[5] M. Gorelik, The centre of simple P-type Lie superalgebra, *J. Algebra* **246** (2001), 414-428.

[6] M. Gorelik, Shapovalov determinants of Q-type Lie superalgebras, *IMRP* (2006), Art. Id. 96895.

[7] M. Gorelik and V. Serganova, On representations of the affine superalgebra $Q(n)^{(2)}$, *Mosc. Math. J.* **8** (2008), 91-109.

[8] C. Gruson, Sur la cohomologic des super algèbres de Lie étranges, *Transform. Groups* **5** (2000), no. 1, 73-84.

[9] P. D. Javis and M. K. Murray, Casimir invariants, characteristic identities, and tensor operators for "strange superalgebras, *J. Math. Phys.* **24** (1983), no. 7, 1705-1710.

[10] C. Luo, Noncanonical polynomial representations of classical Lie algebras, arXiv: 0804.0305 [math.RT].

[11] M. L. Nazarov, Yangians of the "strange" Lie superalgebras, *Quantum groups* (*Leningrad*, 1990), 90-97, *Lecture Notes in Math.,* 1510, *Springer, Berlin,* 1992.

[12] C. Martinez and E. Zelmanov, Lie superalgebras graded by $P(n)$ and $Q(n)$, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **100** (2003), no. 14, 8130-8137.

[13] B. Medak, The group superalgebras in "strange" Lie superalgebras $P(n)$, *Hadronic J. Suppl.* **12** (1997), no. 2, 171-216.

[14] B. Medak, On the compatibility of $\mathbb{Z}$- and $\mathbb{Z}_2$-gradations at "strange" Lie superalgebras $P(n)$ pointed by the Jacobi identity, *Rep. Math. Phys.* **49** (2002), no. 2-3, 305-314.

[15] D. Moon, Tensor product representations of the Lie superalgebra $p(n)$ and their centralizers, *Commun. Algebra* **31** (2003), no. 5, 2095-2140.

[16] V. G . Kac, Lie superalgebras, *Adv. Math.* **26** (1977), 8-96.

[17] V. G. Kac, Characters of typical representations of classical Lie superalgebras, *Commun. Algebra* **5** (1977), 889-897.

[18] V. G. Kac, *Representations of Classical Lie Superalgebras*, Lecture Notes in Math 676, Spring, Berlin, 1978, 597-626.

[19] T. Palev and J. Van der Jeugt, Fock representations of the Lie superalgebra $q(n+1)$, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **33** (2000), 2527-2544.

[20] I. Penkov and V. Serganova, Characters of finite-dimensional irreducible $q(n)$-modules, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **40** (1997), no. 2, 147-158.

[21] V. Serganova, On representations of the Lie superalgebra $p(n)$, *J. Algebra* **258** (2002), no. 2, 615-630.

[22] V. Stukopin, Yangian of the strange Lie superalgebra of Q_{n-1} type, Drinfel'd approach, *SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl.* **3** (2007), Paper 069, 12pp.